arXiv:0904.0808v1 [math.PR] 5 Apr 2009

On the reversal of radial SLE, I: Commutation Relations in Annuli

Dapeng Zhan

Abstract

We aim at finding the reversal of radial SLE and proving the reversibility of whole-plane SLE. For this purpose, we define annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes in doubly connected domains with one marked boundary point. We derive some partial differential equation for Λ, which is sufficient for the annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process to satisfy commutation relation. If Λ satisfies this PDE, then using a coupling technique, we are able to construct a global commutation coupling of two annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes. If more conditions are satisfied, the coupling exists in the degenerate case, which becomes a coupling of two whole-plane SLE_κ processes. The reversibility of whole-plane SLE_κ follows from this coupling together with the assumption that such annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace ends at the marked point. We then conclude that the limit of such annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace is the reversal of radial SLE_κ trace. In the end, we derive some particular solutions to the PDE for Λ.

1 Introduction

The stochastic Loewner evolution (SLE) process introduced by Oded Schramm ([16]) describes some random fractal curves in plane domains that satisfy conformal invariance and Domain Markov Property. These two properties make SLE the most suitable candidates for the scaling limits of many two-dimensional lattice models at criticality. These models are proved or conjectured to converge to SLE with different parameters (e.g., [19][11][10][17][18][20]). For basics of SLE, the reader may refer to [15] and [7].

There are several different versions of SLE, among which chordal SLE and radial SLE are most well-known. A chordal or radial SLE trace is a random fractal curve that grows in a simply connected plane domain from a boundary point. The difference is that chordal SLE trace ends at another boundary point, while radial SLE trace ends at an interior point. Their behaviors both depend on a parameter $\kappa > 0$. When $\kappa \in (0,4]$, both traces are simple curves, and all points on the trace lie inside the domain except the end points.

A coupling technique was introduced in [25] to prove that, for $\kappa \in (0,4]$, chordal SLE_κ satisfies reversibility, which means that if β is a chordal SLE_κ trace in a domain D from a to b, then after a time-change, the reversal of β has the distribution of a chordal SLE_κ trace in D from b to a. We use the coupling technique to construct a coupling of two chordal SLE_κ traces: one is from a to b, the other is from b to a, such that the two curves overlap with each other.

The technique was later used to prove the Duplantier's duality conjecture ([26][27]), which says that, for $\kappa > 4$, the boundary of the hull generated by a chordal SLE_κ trace looks locally like an $\mathrm{SLE}_{16/\kappa}$ trace. It was also used to prove the reversibility of $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\rho)$ trace with degenerate force point when $\kappa \in (0,4]$ and $\rho \ge \kappa/2 - 2$ ([28]).

Our goal now is to find the reversal of radial SLE_κ traces for $\kappa \in (0,4]$. Unlike the case of chordal SLE, the initial point and terminal point of a radial SLE are topologically different, so the reversal of a radial SLE trace can not be a radial SLE trace. However, we may consider whole-plane SLE instead, which is another kind of well-known SLE. It describes a random fractal curve in the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$ that grows from one point in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ to another point in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Whole-plane SLE is closely related to radial SLE. In the case of $\kappa \in (0,4]$, a whole-plane SLE_κ trace is a simple curve. Let β be such a curve that starts from a and ends at b. Let T be a stopping time for β such that $\beta(T)$ is neither a nor b. Let D_T be $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ without the part of β before T. Then D_T is a simply connected domain, b is an interior point of D_T, and $\beta(T)$ is a boundary point of D_T. If we condition on the part of β before T, then the part of β after T has the distribution of a radial SLE_κ curve in D_T from $\beta(T)$ to b.

Conjecture 1 *The whole-plane SLE_κ trace satisfies reversibility for $\kappa \in (0,4]$.*

The conjecture in the case $\kappa = 2$ has been proved in [29]. One result in [29] is: given any $z_1 \ne z_2 \in \mathbb{C}$, the loop-erased random walk (LERW) on the lattice $\delta\mathbb{Z}^2$ from $z_1^{(\delta)}$ to $z_2^{(\delta)}$, where $z_j^{(\delta)}$ is the vertex closest to z_j, $j = 1,2$, converges to the whole-plane SLE_2 trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from z_1 to z_2, as $\delta \to 0^+$. So the conjecture in the case $\kappa = 2$ follows from the reversibility of LERW ([6]). But we are still interested in some proof without lattice models.

It turns out that proving Conjecture 1 is closely related to finding the reversal of radial SLE. Assume that the conjecture holds for some $\kappa \in (0,4]$. Then we have a coupling of two whole-plane SLE_κ traces: β_1 and β_2, which overlap each other and have opposite directions. Fix $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, i.e., $(j,k) = (1,2)$ or $(2,1)$. Let T_k be a finite stopping time for β_k. Let S_j be the first time that β_j visits $\beta_k(T_k)$. If we condition on the part of β_k before T_k, then from the property of whole-plane SLE_κ, the part of β_k after T_k is a radial SLE_κ trace in the remaining domain from $\beta_k(T_k)$ to the end point of β_k. Since β_j overlaps with β_k, so the part of β_j before S_j is the reversal of the above radial SLE_κ. On the other hand, we find that, to prove Conjecture 1, we need the information about the reversal of radial SLE_κ.

We can observe the following facts about the reversal curve, say β, of a radial SLE trace when $\kappa \in (0,4]$. Such β is a simple curve in a simply connected domain that grows from an interior point to a boundary point. After any non-degenerate initial part, the remaining domain is a doubly connected domain, and the rest part of β grows in this doubly connected domain from one boundary point to another boundary point. This observation makes us believe that β is the limit of some SLE-type processes defined for doubly connected domains. Moreover, if Conjecture 1 holds, such β must satisfy some commutation relation. This means that in a doubly connected domain D with two distinct boundary points a and b, an annulus SLE trace started from a with marked point b commute with an annulus SLE trace started from b with marked point a

In this paper, we use the annulus Loewner equation introduced in [22] together with some annulus drift function Λ to define the so-called annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process, which are used to describe the SLE process in a doubly connected domain with one marked boundary point other than the initial point. Then we define the disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process as a natural limit of the above process. After this, we show that if the drift function Λ satisfies some partial differential equation involving elliptic functions ((4.1)), then we may construct a coupling of two annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes that commute with each other.

We also find that, if Λ satisfies the above differential equation together with some condition about the behavior of Λ when the modulus tends to ∞, then the above coupling exists in the degenerate case: the two boundary components of the doubly connected domain shrink to two distinct points of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, so we get a coupling of two whole-plane SLE_κ traces. In addition, if we know that a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace almost surely ends at the marked boundary point, then the above two whole-plane SLE traces overlap with each other, so Conjecture 1 is proved for the corresponding κ. We then immediately conclude that the reversal of a radial SLE_κ trace is a disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace. This paper focuses on constructing the commutation coupling. Another paper in preparation ([30]) will discuss when the disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ trace ends at the marked point, and prove that Conjecture 1 at least holds for $\kappa=2,3,4$.

The marked point and the initial point of an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process could either lie on two different boundary components, or lie on the same boundary component. We study the first case for the proof of Conjecture 1. The second case is also interesting. We also derive some partial differential equation ((4.58)) about Λ which gives the commutation relation. The examples of such SLE include: a chordal $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$ conditioned to avoid a hole (c.f. [10]); the scaling limits (if exist) of some lattice models in doubly connected domains such as loop-erased random walk ($\kappa=2$, c.f. [11][24]), Gaussian free field contour line ($\kappa=4$, c.f. [18]), uniform spanning tree Peano curve ($\kappa=8$, c.f. [11]); and the critical Ising model ($\kappa=16/3,3$, c.f. [20]). We hope that the work in this paper will shed some light on the study of these processes.

The study about commutation relation of SLE in doubly connected domains continues the work in [5] by Julien Dubédat, who used some tools from Lie Algebra to derive commutation conditions of SLE in simply connected domains.

We may also use other Loewner equations, e.g., chordal Loewner equation, to define annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes. In that case, Λ should be viewed as a pre-Schwarzian form of order $3-\kappa/2$, and the driving function for that Loewner equation is $\sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$ plus a differentiable drift function, whose derivative is the expression of the form Λ in the corresponding boundary chart (c.f. [12]). We choose the annulus Loewner equation in this paper because the partial differential equation for the commutation relation is much simpler.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review several Loewner equations and their covering equations. In Section 3, we define annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process and its limit case: disc $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ process. In Section 4 we prove that when Λ satisfies some partial differential equation then we have a commutation coupling of two annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda)$ processes. In Section 5, we construct a coupling of two whole-plane SLE_κ processes as the limit of the coupling in the previous section. In the last section, for some special values of κ we derive some solutions of the partial differential equation for Λ given in Section 4.

2 Loewner Equations

2.1 Hulls and Loewner chains

Hulls and Loewner chains can be defined in any finitely connected plane domains (c.f. [24]). Here we only need these notation to be defined in simply or doubly connected domains. Throughout this paper, a simply connected domain is a plane domain that is conformally equivalent to a disc; and a doubly connected domain is a plane domain that is conformally equivalent to a non-degenerate annulus, so has finite modulus, which is denoted by $\mathrm{mod}(\cdot)$. A relatively closed subset H of a simply connected domain D is called a hull of D if $D\setminus H$ is also simply connected. Moreover, if $z_0 \in D\setminus H$, then we say that H is a hull in D w.r.t. z_0. If D is a doubly connected domain, and C_2 is a boundary component, a relatively closed subset H of D is called a hull of D w.r.t C_2 if $D \setminus H$ is a doubly connected domain that contains a neighborhood of C_2 in D. In this case, C_2 is also a boundary component of $D \setminus H$.

If H is a hull in a simply connected domain D w.r.t. $z_0 \in D$, then from Riemann mapping theorem, there is g that maps $D \setminus H$ conformally onto D, and fixes z_0. Such g is not unique, but $|g'(z_0)|$ is determined by H. Then $\ln(|g'(z_0)|)$ is called the capacity of H in D w.r.t z_0, and is denoted by $\mathrm{cap}_{D;z_0}(H)$. If H is a hull in doubly connected domain D, then $D \setminus H$ is also a doubly connected domain. The difference of these two moduli, i.e., $\mathrm{mod}(D) - \mathrm{mod}(D \setminus H)$, is called the capacity of H in D, and is denoted by $\mathrm{cap}_D(H)$. In either of these two cases, the capacity of H is always ≥ 0, and the equality holds iff $H = \emptyset$; and if $H_1 \subsetneqq H_2$ are two hulls then the capacity of H_1 is strictly less than the capacity of H_2.

Let $\mathbb{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = 1\}$. So $\mathbb{D}$ is a simply connected domain, $0 \in \mathbb{D}$, and $\mathbb{T} = \partial\mathbb{D}$. For $p > 0$, let $\mathbb{A}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : e^{-p} < |z| < 1\}$ and $\mathbb{T}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| = e^{-p}\}$. Then $\mathbb{A}_p$ is a doubly connected domain, $\mathbb{T}_p$ and $\mathbb{T}$ are two boundary components of $\mathbb{A}_p$, and $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p) = p$. The following proposition relates the two different kinds of capacities.

Proposition 2.1 *Suppose $z_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, I is an open arc on $\mathbb{T}$ containing z_0, and Ω is a neighborhood of I in $\mathbb{D}$, $j = 1, 2$. Let W be a conformal map from Ω into $\mathbb{D}$, such that if $z \to I$ in Ω, then $W(z) \to \mathbb{T}$. From Schwarz reflection principle, W extends conformally across I, and maps I into $\mathbb{T}$. Especially, W is analytic at z_0. For any $p, p_1, p_2 > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{H\to 0} \frac{\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(W(H))}{\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(H)} = |W'(z_0)|^2. \tag{2.1}$$

$$\lim_{H\to 0} \frac{\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p_2}}(W(H))}{\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p_1}}(H)} = |W'(z_0)|^2. \tag{2.2}$$

Here $H \to 0$ means that H is a nonempty hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, and $\mathrm{diam}(H \cup \{0\}) \to 0$.

Proof. (2.1) is Lemma 2.1 in [22], and (2.2) follows easily from (2.1). □

A Loewner chain in a simply or doubly connected domain D is a family of hulls in D, say $(L(t), 0 \le t < T)$, where $T \in (0, \infty]$, such that (i) $L(0) = \emptyset$; (ii) $L(t_1) \subsetneqq L(t_2)$ if $t_1 < t_2$; and

(iii) for any fixed $t_0 \in [0,T)$ and any fixed compact set $F \subset D \setminus L(t_0)$ with $\operatorname{diam}(F) > 0$, the extremal length (c.f. [1]) of the family of curves in $D \setminus L(t)$ that disconnect $L(t+\varepsilon) \setminus L(t)$ from F tends to 0 as $\varepsilon \to 0^+$, uniformly in $t \in [0,t_0]$. Moreover, if D is a simply connected domain, and each $L(t)$ is a hull in D w.r.t. $z_0 \in D$, then $(L(t))$ is called a Loewner chain in D w.r.t. z_0; if D is a doubly connected domain with a boundary component C_2, and each $L(t)$ is a hull in D w.r.t. C_2, then $(L(t))$ is called a Loewner chain in D w.r.t. C_2. Using conformal invariance of extremal length, one can easily see that Loewner chains are also conformally invariant. The idea of the definition of Loewner chain first appeared in [13].

Let D be the Riemann sphere $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ or a simply connected domain. An interior hull in D is a compact subset of D, say H, such that $\operatorname{diam}(H) > 0$ and $D \setminus H$ is connected. If $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$, then $D \setminus H$ is simply connected; if D is simply connected, then $D \setminus H$ is doubly connected, and ∂D is a boundary component of $D \setminus H$. Let $z_0 \in D$. An interior Loewner chain in D started from z_0 is a family of interior hulls in D, say $(L(t), -\infty < t < T)$, where $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$, such that (i) $L(t_1) \subsetneqq L(t_2)$ if $t_1 < t_2$; (ii) $\bigcap_{t<T} L(t) = \{z_0\}$; and (iii) for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, T)$, $(L(t_0+t) \setminus L(t_0), 0 \le t < T - t_0)$ is a Loewner chain in $D \setminus L(t_0)$.

2.2 Radial Loewner equation

For a real interval I, we use $C(I)$ to denote the space of real continuous functions on I. For $T \in (0,\infty]$ and $\xi \in C([0,T))$, the radial Loewner equation driven by ξ is

$$\dot g(t,z) = g(t,z)\,\frac{e^{i\xi(t)} + g(t,z)}{e^{i\xi(t)} - g(t,z)}, \quad g(0,z) = z. \tag{2.3}$$

Here we use the dot to denote the partial derivative w.r.t. the first variable: t. For $0 \le t < T$, let $K(t)$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{D}$ such that the solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time t. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by ξ. The following proposition is the main theorem in [13].

Proposition 2.2 *Suppose $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, are radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by $\xi \in C([0,T))$. Then $(K(t), 0 \le t < T)$ is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0. For every $t \in [0,T)$, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$ with $g(t,0) = 0$ and $g'(t,0) = e^t$, so $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(K(t)) = t$. Moreover, for every $t \in [0,T)$,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}.$$

Let e^i denote the map $z \mapsto e^{iz}$. Then e^i is a covering map from $\mathbb{H}$ onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$, and from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. For $\xi \in C([0,T))$, the covering radial Loewner equation driven by ξ is

$$\dot{\widetilde g}(t,z) = \cot_2(\widetilde g(t,z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde g(0,z) = z. \tag{2.4}$$

Here $\cot_2(z) := \cot(z/2)$. For $0 \le t < T$, let $\widetilde{K}(t)$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{H}$ such that the solution $\widetilde{g}(s,z)$ blows up before or at time t. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, covering radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by ξ. Let $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$ be as in the last paragraph, then we have $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t,\cdot) = g(t,\cdot) \circ e^i$ for $0 \le t < T$. Throughout this paper, we will use tilde to denote the covering Loewner maps or hulls.

Let $B(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, be a (standard) Brownian motion, i.e., $B(0) = 0$ and $\mathbf{E}\left[B(1)^2\right] = 1$. Let $\kappa \ge 0$. Then the radial Loewner hulls $K(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, driven by $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, are called the standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls. Let $g(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding radial Loewner maps. From the existence of chordal SLE$_\kappa$ trace ([15]) and the equivalence between chordal SLE$_\kappa$ and radial SLE$_\kappa$ ([9]) we know that almost surely

$$\beta(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{D} \ni z \to e^{i\xi(t)}} g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z)$$

exists for every $0 \le t < \infty$, and $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is a continuous curve in $\overline{\mathbb{D}}$ such that $\beta(0) = 1$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} \beta(t) = 0$ a.s.. Moreover, if $\kappa \in (0,4]$ then β is a simple curve, which intersects $\mathbb{T}$ only at the initial point, which is $e^{i\xi(0)} = 1$, and $K(t) = \beta((0,t])$; if $\kappa > 4$ then β is not simple, and $K(t)$ is such that $\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)$ is the connected component of $\mathbb{D} \setminus \beta((0,t])$ that contains 0. Such β is called a standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace.

The radial SLE in general simply connected domains are defined by conformal maps. Suppose D is a simply connected domain, a is a boundary point or prime end, and b is an interior point. Then there is W that maps $\mathbb{D}$ conformally onto D such that $W(1) = a$ and $W(0) = b$. Let $K(t)$ and $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, be the standard radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls and trace. Then $W(K(t))$ and $W(\beta(t))$, $0 \le t < \infty$, are called the radial SLE$_\kappa$ hulls and trace in D from a to b.

2.3 Whole-plane Loewner equation

The whole-plane Loewner equation generates some interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0. The following proposition is a special case of Proposition 4.21 in [7].

Proposition 2.3 *Suppose $\xi \in C((-\infty,T))$ for some $T \in (-\infty,\infty]$. Then there is an interior Loewner chain $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0, and a family of maps $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, such that, for each $t \in (-\infty,T)$, $g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$, fixes ∞, and satisfies*

$$\dot{g}_I(t,z) = g_I(t,z)\frac{e^{i\xi(t)} + g_I(t,z)}{e^{i\xi(t)} - g_I(t,z)}; \tag{2.5}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} e^t g_I(t,z) = z, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.6}$$

Moreover, for any $t \in (-\infty,T)$, $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ are determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$. We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

The whole-plane SLE is defined by choosing the driving function $\xi(t)$ such that $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, is a Brownian motion on $\mathbb{T}$ started from uniform distribution. The construction is as follows. Fix $\kappa > 0$. Let $B_+(t)$ and $B_-(t)$, $t \ge 0$, be two independent Brownian motions. Let $\mathbf{x}$ be a random variable, which is uniformly distributed on $[0,2\pi)$, and is independent of $(B_+(t))$ and $(B_-(t))$. Let $B^{(\kappa)}(t) = \mathbf{x} + \sqrt{\kappa}B_{\text{sign}(t)}(|t|)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$ satisfies the property that for any fixed $T \in \mathbb{R}$, $B^{(\kappa)}(T+t) - B^{(\kappa)}(T)$, $t \ge 0$, has the same distribution as $\sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $t \ge 0$, and is independent of $e^i(B^{(\kappa)}(t))$, $-\infty < t \le T$. The whole-plane Loewner hulls, $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < \infty$, driven by $\xi(t) = B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, are called the standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ hulls. Let $g_I(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding whole-plane Loewner maps. Recall that $g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\{|z| > 1\}$. It is known that a.s.

$$\beta_I(t) := \lim_{|z|>1, z\to e^{i\xi(t)}} g_I(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z) \tag{2.7}$$

exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$, and $\beta_I(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{C}$, and satisfies $\lim_{t\to-\infty}\beta_I(t) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty}\beta_I(t) = \infty$. Such β_I is called the standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace. If $\kappa \le 4$, β_I is a simple curve, and $K_I(t) = \beta_I([-\infty,t])$ for each $t \in \mathbb{R}$; if $\kappa > 4$, β_I is not simple, and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(t)$ is the component of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \beta_I([-\infty,t])$ that contains ∞. Whole-plane SLE is related to radial SLE in the way that, if $T \in \mathbb{R}$ is fixed, then conditioned on $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t \le T$, the curve $\beta_I(T+t)$, $t \ge 0$, is the radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_I(T)$ from $\beta_I(T)$ to ∞.

The standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ process is self conformally invariant. This means that if β_I is a standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace, and W maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ conformally onto itself, and fixes 0 and ∞ (so W is of the form $z \mapsto Cz$ with $C \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$), then $(W(\beta_I(t)))$ has the same distribution as $(\beta_I(t))$ with a possible time-change. This is also true if W is a conjugate conformal map. If $z_1 \ne z_2 \in \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ are given, we may choose some W that maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ conformally or conjugate conformally onto itself such that $W(0) = z_1$ and $W(\infty) = z_2$. Then we define $W(\beta_I(t))$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, to be the whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from any z_1 to z_2. The definition up to a time-change does not depend on the choice of W.

In the above content, we use subscripts I to emphasize that the whole-plane interior Loewner chain grows from 0. We will need the following inverted whole-plane Loewner chain, which grows from ∞. Let $I_0(z) = 1/\overline{z}$. Then I_0 is a conjugate conformal map from $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ onto itself, exchanges 0 and ∞, and maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ onto $\mathbb{D}$. For $-\infty < t < T$, let $K(t) = I_0(K_I(t))$ and $g(t,\cdot) = I_0 \circ g_I(t,\cdot) \circ I_0$. Then $K(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from ∞. For each t, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, and fixes 0. Moreover, $g(t,\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\dot{g}(t,z) = g(t,z)\,\frac{e^{i\xi(t)} + g(t,z)}{e^{i\xi(t)} - g(t,z)}, \quad -\infty < t < T. \tag{2.8}$$

We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$ the inverted whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

Lemma 2.1 *For any $t \in (-\infty,T)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0,T-t)$, let $K_t(\varepsilon) = g(K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$. Then $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, and $\text{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$. Moreover,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))}.$$

Proof. Fix $t \in (-\infty, T)$. For $0 \le \varepsilon < T - t$, let $g_t(\varepsilon, \cdot) = g(t+\varepsilon, \cdot) \circ g(t, \cdot)^{-1}$. Then $g_t(\varepsilon, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_t(\varepsilon)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, $g_t(0, \cdot) = \mathrm{id}$, and from (2.8), it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \varepsilon} g_t(\varepsilon, z) = g_t(\varepsilon, z) \frac{e^{i\xi(t+\varepsilon)} + g_t(\varepsilon, z)}{e^{i\xi(t+\varepsilon)} - g_t(\varepsilon, z)}.$$

Thus, $K_t(\varepsilon)$ and $g_t(\varepsilon, \cdot)$, $0 \le \varepsilon < T - t$, are radial Loewner hulls and maps, respectively, driven by $\xi_t(\varepsilon) := \xi(t+\varepsilon)$, $0 \le \varepsilon < T - t$. From Proposition 2.2, $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$, and

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \{e^{i\xi_t(0)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0, T-t)} \overline{g_t(0, K_t(\varepsilon) \setminus K_t(0))} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0, T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)}. \quad \square$$

The covering whole-plane Loewner equation is defined as follows. Let $\xi \in C((-\infty, T))$ for some $T \in (-\infty, \infty]$. Let $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, be the whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ. Let $\widetilde{K}_I(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $-\infty < t < T$. Suppose $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, satisfy that for each t, $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $-\mathbb{H}$, $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) = g_I(t, \cdot) \circ e^i$, and the following differential equation holds:

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}_I(t, z) = \cot_2(\widetilde{g}_I(t, z) - \xi(t)); \tag{2.9}$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} (\widetilde{g}_I(t, z) - it) = z. \tag{2.10}$$

Then we call $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ the covering whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ. Such family of $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ exists and is unique. In fact, for each $t \in (-\infty, T)$, we can find some $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ that maps $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $-\mathbb{H}$ such that $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) = g_I(t, \cdot) \circ e^i$. Such $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ is not unique. Since $g_I(t, \cdot)$ is differentiable in t, so one may choose $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ such that it is also differentiable in t. From (2.5) we conclude that (2.9) must hold. From (2.6) we conclude that $\lim_{t \to -\infty} (\widetilde{g}_I(t, z) - it) = z + i2n\pi$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and such n is the same for every z. Now we replace $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ by $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) - i2n\pi$. Then (2.9) and (2.10) still hold. So we have the existence of $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$. The uniqueness follows from the same argument. Moreover, we see that for any $t \in (-\infty, T)$, $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ is determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$.

Let $\widetilde{I}_0(z) = \overline{z}$. Then $\widetilde{I}_0$ is a conjugate conformal map from $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself, maps $-\mathbb{H}$ onto $\mathbb{H}$, and satisfies $e^i \circ \widetilde{I}_0 = I_0 \circ e^i$. Let $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot) = \widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_0$ and $\widetilde{K}(t) = \widetilde{I}_0(\widetilde{K}_I(t))$. Then $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t, \cdot) = g(t, \cdot) \circ e^i$. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$ the inverted covering whole-plane Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ. Moreover, we have

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}(t, z) = \cot_2(\widetilde{g}(t, z) - \xi(t)). \tag{2.11}$$

2.4 Annulus Loewner equation

Annulus Loewner equation is introduced in [22]. For $p > 0$, define

$$\mathbf{S}(p, z) = \lim_{M \to \infty} \sum_{k=-M}^{M} \frac{e^{2kp} + z}{e^{2kp} - z} = \mathrm{P.V.} \sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{e^{np} + z}{e^{np} - z},$$

$$\mathbf{H}(p,z) = -i\mathbf{S}(p, e^i(z)) = -i\,\mathrm{P.\,V.}\sum_{n \text{ even}} \frac{e^{np}+e^{iz}}{e^{np}-e^{iz}}. \tag{2.12}$$

Then $\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot)$ is a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$, whose poles are $\{2m\pi + i2kp : m,k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which are all simple poles with residue 2. Moreover, $\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot)$ is an odd function; takes real values on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{\text{poles}\}$; $\operatorname{Im}\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot) \equiv -1$ on $ip+\mathbb{R}$; $\mathbf{H}(p,z+2\pi) = \mathbf{H}(p,z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(p,z+i2p) = \mathbf{H}(p,z) - 2i$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus\{\text{poles}\}$. It is possible to explicit this kernel using classical functions in [4]:

$$\mathbf{H}(p,z) = 2\zeta(z) - \frac{2}{\pi}\zeta(\pi)z = \frac{1}{\pi}\frac{\partial_\nu\theta}{\theta}\Big(\frac{z}{2\pi},\frac{ir}{\pi}\Big),$$

where ζ is the Weierstrass zeta function with basic periods $(2\pi, i2p)$, and $\theta = \theta(\nu,\tau)$ is the Jacobi's theta function.

The power series expansion of $\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot)$ near 0 is

$$\mathbf{H}(p,z) = \frac{2}{z} + \mathbf{r}(p)z + O(z^3), \tag{2.13}$$

where

$$\mathbf{r}(p) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\sinh(kp)^{-2} - \frac{1}{6}.$$

As $p \to \infty$, $\mathbf{S}(p,z) \to \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $\mathbf{H}(p,z) \to \cot_2(z)$, and $\mathbf{r}(p) \to -1/6$. So we write $\mathbf{S}(\infty,z) = \frac{1+z}{1-z}$, $\mathbf{H}(\infty,z) = \cot_2(z)$, and $\mathbf{r}(\infty) = -1/6$. Then $\mathbf{r}$ is continuous on $(0,\infty]$, and (2.13) still holds even if $p=\infty$. In fact, we have $\mathbf{r}(p) - \mathbf{r}(\infty) = O(e^{-p})$ as $p\to\infty$, so we may define $\mathbf{R}$ on $(0,\infty]$ such that

$$\mathbf{R}(p) = -\int_p^\infty (\mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\infty))dt. \tag{2.14}$$

Then $\mathbf{R}$ is continuous on $(0,\infty]$, $\mathbf{R}(p) = O(e^{-p})$ as $p\to\infty$, and $\mathbf{R}'(p) = \mathbf{r}(p) - \mathbf{r}(\infty)$.

Fix $p \in (0,\infty)$. Let $\xi \in C([0,T))$ where $0 < T \le p$. The annulus Loewner equation of modulus p driven by ξ is

$$\dot g(t,z) = g(t,z)\mathbf{S}(p-t, g(t,z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad g(0,z) = z. \tag{2.15}$$

For $0 \le t < T$, let $K(t)$ denote the set of $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$ such that the solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time t. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus p driven by ξ. The following proposition is Proposition 2.1 in [22].

Proposition 2.4 *(i) Suppose $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, are annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus p driven by $\xi \in C([0,T))$. Then $(K(t), 0 \le t < T)$ is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. For every $t \in [0,T)$, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}_p$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$, so $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K(t)) = t$. Moreover, we have*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon)\setminus K(t))}, \quad t \in [0,T).$$

(ii) Let $(K(t), 0 \le t < T)$ be a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. Let $v(t) = \operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K(t))$, $0 \le t < T$. Then v is a continuous increasing function that maps $[0, T)$ onto $[0, S)$ for some $S \in (0, p]$. Let $L(s) = K(v^{-1}(s))$, $0 \le s < S$. Then $L(s)$, $0 \le s < S$, are annulus Loewner hulls of modulus p driven by some $\zeta \in C([0, S))$.

Let $t \in [0, T)$ and $\varepsilon \in [0, T - \varepsilon)$. Let $g_{t,\varepsilon} = g(t + \varepsilon, \cdot) \circ g(t, \cdot)^{-1}$. Then $g_{t,\varepsilon}$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t} \setminus g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t-\varepsilon}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{p-t-\varepsilon}$, so $g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t}$, and

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t}}(g(t, K(t + \varepsilon) \setminus K(t))) = \varepsilon. \tag{2.16}$$

The covering annulus Loewner equation of modulus p driven by the above ξ is

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}(t, z) = \mathbf{H}(p - t, \widetilde{g}(t, z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde{g}(0, z) = z. \tag{2.17}$$

Let $\mathbb{S}_p = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : 0 < \operatorname{Im} z < p\}$ and $\mathbb{R}_p = ip + \mathbb{R}$. Then e^i is a covering map from $\mathbb{S}_p$ onto $\mathbb{A}_p$, and from $\mathbb{R}_p$ onto $\mathbb{T}_p$. For $0 \le t < T$, let $\widetilde{K}(t)$ denote the set of $z \in \mathbb{S}_p$ such that the solution $\widetilde{g}(s, z)$ blows up before or at time t. Then for $0 \le t < T$, $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{R}_p$ onto $\mathbb{R}_{p-t}$. We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t, \cdot)$, $0 \le t < T$, the covering annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus p driven by ξ. Let $K(t)$ and $g(t, \cdot)$ be as before. Then we have $\widetilde{K}(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K(t))$ and $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}(t, \cdot) = g(t, \cdot) \circ e^i$ for $0 \le t < T$.

Note that if $p = \infty$ in (2.15) and (2.17), then we get (2.3) and (2.4). So we may view the radial Loewner equation as a limit of annulus Loewner equations as the modulus $p \to \infty$.

Let $\mathbf{S}_I(p, z) = 1 + \mathbf{S}(p, e^p z)$ and $\mathbf{H}_I(p, z) = -i\mathbf{S}_I(p, e^{iz}) = -i + \mathbf{H}(p, z - ip)$. It is easy to check:

$$\mathbf{S}_I(p, z) = \mathrm{P.V.} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{e^{np} + z}{e^{np} - z}, \quad \mathbf{H}_I(p, z) = -i\, \mathrm{P.V.} \sum_{n \text{ odd}} \frac{e^{np} + e^{iz}}{e^{np} - e^{iz}}. \tag{2.18}$$

So $\mathbf{H}_I(p, \cdot)$ is a meromorphic function in $\mathbb{C}$ with poles $\{2m\pi + i(2k + 1)p : m, k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, which are all simple poles with residue 2; $\mathbf{H}_I(p, \cdot)$ is an odd function; takes real values on $\mathbb{R}$; and $\mathbf{H}_I(p, z + 2\pi) = \mathbf{H}_I(p, z)$, $\mathbf{H}_I(p, z + i2p) = \mathbf{H}_I(p, z) - 2i$ for any $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{\text{poles}\}$.

Let $I_p(z) := e^{-p}/\overline{z}$ and $\widetilde{I}_p(z) = ip + \overline{z}$. Then I_p and $\widetilde{I}_p$ are conjugate conformal automorphisms of $\mathbb{A}_p$ and $\mathbb{S}_p$, respectively. Moreover, I_p exchanges $\mathbb{T}_p$ and $\mathbb{T}$, $\widetilde{I}_p$ exchanges $\mathbb{R}_p$ and $\mathbb{R}$, and $I_p \circ e^i = e^i \circ \widetilde{I}_p$. Let $K_I(t) = I_p(K(t))$, $g_I(t, \cdot) = I_{p-t} \circ g(t, \cdot) \circ I_p$, $\widetilde{K}_I(t,) = \widetilde{I}_p(\widetilde{K}(t))$, and $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) = \widetilde{I}_{p-t} \circ \widetilde{g}(t, \cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_p$. Then $K_I(t)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}$; $g_I(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$; so $\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_p}(K_I(t)) = t$. We have that $\widetilde{K}_I(t) = (e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, and satisfies $e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot) = g_I(t, \cdot) \circ e^i$. Moreover, $g_I(t, \cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t, \cdot)$ satisfy the following equations:

$$\dot{g}_I(t, z) = g(t, z)\mathbf{S}_I(p - t, g_I(t, z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad g(0, z) = z;$$

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}_I(t, z) = \mathbf{H}_I(p - t, \widetilde{g}_I(t, z) - \xi(t)), \quad \widetilde{g}(0, z) = z. \tag{2.19}$$

We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$) the inverted annulus (resp. inverted covering annulus) Loewner hulls and maps of modulus p driven by ξ.

From (2.15), we see that if $\widehat{\xi} \in C([0,T))$ satisfies $e^{i\widehat{\xi}(t)} = e^{i\xi(t)}$ for $0 \le t < T$, then the annulus Loewner maps and hulls of modulus p driven by $\widehat{\xi}$ agree with those driven by ξ. This is also true for covering annulus Loewner maps and hulls because the $\mathbf{H}$ in (2.17) has period 2π in the second variable. From the definitions, similar results hold ture for inverted and inverted covering annulus Loewner objects.

Let $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion, and $\kappa > 0$. Then the annulus Loewner hulls $K(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, of modulus p driven by $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, are called the standard annulus SLE_κ hulls of modulus p. Let $g(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding annulus Loewner maps. It is known (c.f. [22]) that the standard annulus SLE_κ process is locally equivalent to the standard radial SLE_κ process. So a.s.

$$\beta(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t} \ni z \to e^{i\xi(t)}} g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(z) \tag{2.20}$$

exists for $0 \le t < p$, and $\beta(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{A}_p \cup \mathbb{T}$. If $\kappa \in (0,4]$, β is a simple curve, which intersects $\mathbb{T}$ only at $\beta(0) = 1$, and $K(t) = \beta((0,t])$; if $\kappa > 4$, then β is not simple, and $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K(t)$ is the connected component of $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus \beta((0,t])$ that contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{T}_p$. We call such β the standard annulus SLE_κ trace of modulus p.

2.5 Disc Loewner equation

The disc Loewner equation generates some interior Loewner chain in the unit disc $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. The following proposition is a slight modification of some propositions in [22].

Proposition 2.5 *(i) Let $\xi \in C((-\infty,T))$ for some $T \in (-\infty,0]$. Then there is an interior Loewner chain $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, in $\mathbb{D}$ started from* 0, *and a family of maps $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, $-\infty < t < T$, such that for each $-\infty < t < T$, $g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$ (so $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t)) = -t$), maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, and satisfies*

$$\dot{g}_I(t,z) = g_I(t,z)\mathbf{S}_I(-t, g_I(t,z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad -\infty < t < T; \tag{2.21}$$

$$\lim_{t \to -\infty} g_I(t,z) = z, \quad \forall z \in \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus \{0\}. \tag{2.22}$$

Moreover, for any $t \in (-\infty,T)$, $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ are determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$. We call $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

(ii) Suppose $K_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. *Let $v(t) = -\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus K(t))$. Then v is continuous and increasing on $(-\infty,T)$, and maps $(-\infty,T)$ onto $(-\infty,S)$ for some $S \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $L_I(s) = K_I(v^{-1}(s))$, $-\infty < s < S$. Then $L_I(s)$, $-\infty < s < S$, are the disc Loewner hulls driven by some $\zeta \in C((-\infty,S))$.*

Proof. (i) Apply Proposition 4.1 in [22] to $\chi(t) = e^{-i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t < T$. Then we have an interior Loewner chain $K_-(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0, and a family of maps $g_-(t,\cdot)$,

$-\infty < t < T$, such that each $g_-(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_-(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$, maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$, and satisfies

$$\dot{g}_-(t,z) = g_-(t,z)\mathbf{S}(-t, g_-(t,z)/e^{-i\xi(t)}), \quad -\infty < t < T; \tag{2.23}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty} e^t/g_-(t,z) = z, \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{D}\setminus\{0\}. \tag{2.24}$$

Recall that $\widetilde{I}_0(z) = \overline{z}$ and $I_{-t}(z) = e^t/\overline{z}$. Let $K_I(t) = \widetilde{I}_0(K_-(t))$ and $g_I(t,\cdot) = I_{-t} \circ g_-(t,\cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_0$. Then $(K_I(t), -\infty < t < T)$ is also an interior Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0, and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. Now (2.21) and (2.22) follow from (2.23) and (2.24), respectively. From Proposition 4.1 in [22], $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t,\cdot)$ are determined by $\chi(s) = 1/e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$, so are determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty < s \le t$. So we have (i). Now (ii) follows from Proposition 4.2 in [22] and the argument in the proof of (i). $\square$

Here we also use subscripts I to emphasize that the disc interior Loewner chain grows from 0. We will need the following inverted disc Loewner chain, which grows from ∞. For $-\infty < t < T$, let $K(t) = I_0(K_I(t))$ and $g(t,\cdot) = I_{-t} \circ g(t,\cdot) \circ I_0$. Then $K(t)$, $-\infty < t < T$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ started from ∞. For each t, $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \overline{\mathbb{D}} \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$. Moreover, $g(t,\cdot)$ satisfies

$$\dot{g}(t,z) = g(t,z)\mathbf{S}(-t, g(t,z)/e^{i\xi(t)}), \quad -\infty < t < T. \tag{2.25}$$

We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < T$, the inverted disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

Lemma 2.2 *For any $t \in (-\infty, T)$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, T-t)$, let $K_t(\varepsilon) = g(t, K(t+\varepsilon) \setminus K(t))$. Then $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$, and $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{-t}}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$. Moreover,*

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{g(t, K(t+\varepsilon)\setminus K(t))}.$$

Proof. Fix $t \in (-\infty, T)$. For $0 \le \varepsilon < T - t$, let $g_t(\varepsilon,\cdot) = g(t+\varepsilon,\cdot) \circ g(t,\cdot)^{-1}$. Then $g_t(\varepsilon,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{-t} \setminus K_t(\varepsilon)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-t-\varepsilon}$, $g_t(0,\cdot) = \mathrm{id}$, and from (2.25), it satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial\varepsilon} g_t(\varepsilon, z) = g_t(\varepsilon, z)\mathbf{S}(-t-\varepsilon, g_t(\varepsilon,z)/e^{i\xi(t+\varepsilon)}).$$

Thus, $K_t(\varepsilon)$ and $g_t(\varepsilon,\cdot)$, $0 \le \varepsilon < T-t$, are annulus Loewner hulls and maps of modulus $-t$, respectively, driven by $\xi_t(\varepsilon) := \xi(t+\varepsilon)$, $0 \le \varepsilon < T-t$. From Proposition 2.4, $K_t(\varepsilon)$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{-t}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{-t}$, $\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{-t}}(K_t(\varepsilon)) = \varepsilon$, and we have

$$\{e^{i\xi(t)}\} = \{e^{i\xi_t(0)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{g_t(0, K_t(\varepsilon)\setminus K_t(0))} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T-t)} \overline{K_t(\varepsilon)}. \quad \square$$

The covering disc Loewner hulls and maps are defined as follows. Let $\widetilde{K}_I(t)=(e^i)^{-1}(K_I(t))$, $-\infty<t<T$. Suppose $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty<t<T$, satisfy that, for each t, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{H}\setminus\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, $e^i\circ\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)=g_I(t,\cdot)\circ e^i$, and the followings hold:

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}_I(t,z)=\mathbf{H}_I(-t,\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)-\xi(t)); \tag{2.26}$$

$$\lim_{t\to-\infty}\widetilde{g}_I(t,z)=z. \tag{2.27}$$

Such family of $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ exists and is unique. This follows from the same argument used to show the existence and uniqueness of covering whole-plane Loewner maps. Moreover, we see that for any $t\in(-\infty,T)$, $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ is determined by $e^{i\xi(s)}$, $-\infty<s\le t$. We call $\widetilde{K}_I(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)$ the covering disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

Let $\widetilde{K}(t)=\widetilde{I}_0(\widetilde{K}_I(t))$ and $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{-t}\circ\widetilde{g}_I(t,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_0$. Then $\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)$ maps $-\mathbb{H}\setminus\widetilde{K}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}_{-t}$, $e^i\circ\widetilde{g}(t,\cdot)=g(t,\cdot)\circ e^i$, and satisfies

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}(t,z)=\mathbf{H}(-t,\widetilde{g}(t,z)-\xi(t)).$$

We call $\widetilde{K}(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}(t)$ the inverted covering disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

2.6 Some estimations

Lemma 2.3 *If $p\ge|\operatorname{Im}z|+\ln(4)$, then $|\mathbf{H}_I(p,z)|<9e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}$. For any $h\in\mathbb{N}$, if $p\ge|\operatorname{Im}z|+h+\ln(4)$, then $|\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(p,z)|<25\sqrt{h}e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}$, where $\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(p,z)$ is the h-th partial derivative of $\mathbf{H}_I$ about the second variable: z.*

Proof. From (2.18), we have

$$\mathbf{H}_I(p,z)=\frac{1}{2i}\sum_{n\,\mathrm{odd}}\Big(\frac{e^{np}+e^{iz}}{e^{np}-e^{iz}}+\frac{e^{-np}+e^{iz}}{e^{-np}-e^{iz}}\Big)=\sum_{n\,\mathrm{odd}}\frac{\sin(z)}{\cosh(np)-\cos(z)}. \tag{2.28}$$

It is known that $|\sin(z)|,|\cos(z)|\le e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}$. If $p\ge|\operatorname{Im}z|+\ln(4)$, then for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}\setminus\{0\}$, $\cosh(np)\ge e^{|n|p}/2\ge e^p/2\ge 2e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}$, which implies that

$$|\cosh(np)-\cos(z)|\ge\cosh(np)-|\cos(z)|\ge\cosh(np)-e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}\ge\cosh(np)/2\ge e^{|n|p}/4.$$

So from (2.28) we have

$$|\mathbf{H}_I(p,z)|\le\sum_{n\,\mathrm{odd}}\frac{4e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}}{e^{|n|p}}=2\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}\frac{4e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|}}{e^{(2k+1)p}}=\frac{8e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}}{1-e^{-2p}}<9e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p},$$

where the last inequality follows from $e^{-2p}\le e^{-2\ln(4)}=1/16$.

Now suppose $h\in\mathbb{N}$ and $p\ge|\operatorname{Im}z|+h+\ln(4)$. Then for any $w\in\mathbb{C}$ with $|w-z|=h$, we have $p\ge|\operatorname{Im}w|+\ln(4)$, so $|\mathbf{H}_I(p,w)|<9e^{|\operatorname{Im}w|-p}\le 9e^he^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}$. From Cauchy's integral formula and Stirling's formula, we have

$$|\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(p,z)|\le 9\frac{h!e^h}{h^h}e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}\le 9\sqrt{2\pi h}e^{1/(12h)}e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}<25\sqrt{h}e^{|\operatorname{Im}z|-p}.\quad\Box$$

Lemma 2.4 *There are positive continuous functions $N_L(p)$ and $N_S(p)$ defined on $(0,\infty)$ that satisfies $N_L(p), N_S(p) = O(pe^{-p})$ as $p \to \infty$ and the following properties. Suppose K is an interior hull in $\mathbb{D}$ containing 0, g maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus K$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_p$ for some $p \in (0,\infty)$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, and $\widetilde{g}$ is differentiable, and satisfies $e^i \circ \widetilde{g} = g \circ e^i$ on $\mathbb{R}$. Then for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $|\ln(\widetilde{g}'(x))| \le N_L(p)$ and $|S\widetilde{g}(x)| \le N_S(p)$, where $S\widetilde{g}(x)$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $\widetilde{g}$ at x, i.e., $S\widetilde{g}(x) = \widetilde{g}'''(x)/\widetilde{g}'(x) - \frac{3}{2}(\widetilde{g}''(x)/\widetilde{g}'(x))^2$.*

Proof. Let $P(p,z) = -\operatorname{Re}\mathbf{S}_I(p,z) - \ln|z|/p$ and $\widetilde{P}(p,z) = P(p,e^{iz}) = \operatorname{Im}\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) + \operatorname{Im} z/p$. Then $P(p,\cdot)$ vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}_p \setminus \{e^{-p}\}$, and is harmonic inside $\mathbb{A}_p$. Moreover, when $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$ is near e^{-p}, $P(p,z)$ behaves like $-\operatorname{Re}(\frac{e^{-p}+z}{e^{-p}-z}) + O(1)$. Thus, $P(p,\cdot)$ is a renormalized Poisson kernel in $\mathbb{A}_p$ with the pole at e^{-p}. Since $\ln|g^{-1}|$ is negative and harmonic in $\mathbb{A}_p$, and vanishes on $\mathbb{T}$, so there is a positive measure μ_K supported by $[0,2\pi)$ such that for any $z \in \mathbb{A}_p$,

$$\ln|g^{-1}(z)| = -\int P(p, z/e^{i\xi})d\mu_K(\xi).$$

Since $e^i \circ \widetilde{g} = g \circ e^i$, so $\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}^{-1} = -\ln|g^{-1} \circ e^i|$. Thus, for any $z \in \mathbb{S}_p$,

$$\operatorname{Im}\widetilde{g}^{-1}(z) = \int P(p, e^{iz}/e^{i\xi})d\mu_K(\xi) = \int \widetilde{P}(p, z-\xi)d\mu_K(\xi).$$

So for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$ and $h = 1,2,3$,

$$(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(h)}(x) = \int \frac{\partial^h}{\partial x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p, x-\xi)d\mu_K(\xi). \tag{2.29}$$

Let

$$m_p = \inf_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x), \quad M_p = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x), \quad M_p^{(h)} = \sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}} |\frac{\partial^h}{\partial_x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x)|, \quad h = 2,3.$$

Since $\widetilde{P}(p,\cdot)$ is positive in $\mathbb{S}_p$, vanishes on $\mathbb{R}$, and has period 2π, so $0 < m_p < M_p < \infty$. So from (2.29), for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p|\mu_K| \le (\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x) \le M_p|\mu_K|$. Since g^{-1} maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, so $\widetilde{g}^{-1}(2\pi) = \widetilde{g}^{-1}(0) + 2\pi$. Thus,

$$2\pi = \int_0^{2\pi} \widetilde{g}^{-1}(x)dx \in [2\pi m_p|\mu_K|, 2\pi M_p|\mu_K|].$$

So we have $1/M_p \le |\mu_K| \le 1/m_p$. Thus, for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p/M_p \le (\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x) \le M_p/m_p$ and $|(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(h)}(x)| \le M_p^{(h)}/m_p$, $h = 2,3$. So we have

$$|S\widetilde{g}^{-1}(x)| = \Big|\frac{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(3)}(x)}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x)} - \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})^{(2)}(x)}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(x)}\Big)\Big| \le \frac{M_p^{(3)}M_p}{m_p^2} + \frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{M_p^{(2)}M_p}{m_p^2}\Big)^2.$$

Since $\widetilde{g}$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$, so for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $m_p/M_p \le \widetilde{g}'(x) \le M_p/m_p$, and

$$|S\widetilde{g}(x)| = \Big| - \frac{S\widetilde{g}^{-1}(\widetilde{g}(x))}{(\widetilde{g}^{-1})'(\widetilde{g}(x))^2}\Big| \le \frac{M_p^{(3)} M_p^3}{m_p^4} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M_p^{(2)})^2 M_p^4}{m_p^6}.$$

Let $N_L(p) = \ln(M_p/m_p) > 0$ and $N_S(p) = \frac{M_p^{(3)} M_p^3}{m_p^4} + \frac{3}{2}\frac{(M_p^{(2)})^2 M_p^4}{m_p^6}$. then $|\ln(\widetilde{g}'(x))| \le N_L(p)$ and $|S\widetilde{g}(x)| \le N_S(p)$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Since $\widetilde{P}(p,z) = \operatorname{Im} \mathbf{H}_I(p,z) + \operatorname{Im} z/p$, so $\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x) = \mathbf{H}_I'(p,x) + \frac{1}{p}$ and $\frac{\partial^h}{\partial x^{h-1}\partial y}\widetilde{P}(p,x) = \mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(p,x)$, $h = 2,3$. From Lemma 2.3, $M_p, m_p = \frac{1}{p} + O(e^{-p})$ and $M_p^{(h)} = O(e^{-p})$, $h = 2,3$, as $p \to \infty$. So $N_L(p), N_S(p) = O(pe^{-p})$ as $p \to \infty$. $\Box$

3 SLE with Marked Points

3.1 Annulus SLE processes with one marked point

Definition 3.1 *A crossing annulus drift function is a real valued continuous function defined on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ which has period 2π and is continuously differentiable in the second variable. A chordal-type annulus drift function is a real valued continuous function defined on $(0,\infty) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{N}\})$ which has period 2π and is continuously differentiable in the second variable. If Λ is a crossing or chordal-type annulus drift function, and $\Lambda_I(p,x) = -\Lambda(p,-x)$, then Λ_I is called the dual function of Λ. If $\Lambda_I = \Lambda$, then Λ is called symmetric.*

Suppose Λ is a crossing annulus drift function. Let $\kappa \ge 0$ and $p > 0$, $a \in \mathbb{T}$ and $b \in \mathbb{T}_p$. Choose $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a = e^{ix_0}$ and $b = e^{-p+iy_0}$. Let $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion. Let $f(t)$ and $q(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the solution to the following system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} f'(t) = \Lambda(p-t, f(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t) - q(t)), & f(0) = x_0; \\ q'(t) = \mathbf{H}_I(p-t, q(t) - f(t) - \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)), & q(0) = y_0. \end{cases} \tag{3.1}$$

From the condition of Λ, the solution exists uniquely, and is adapted w.r.t. the filtration generated by $B(t)$. Let $\xi(t) = f(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $0 \le t < p$. Let $\widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the inverted covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus p driven by ξ. From (2.19), we have $\widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(t, y_0) = q(t)$ for $0 \le t < p$. So $\xi(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, satisfies the SDE:

$$d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t) + \Lambda(p-t, \xi(t) - \widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(t,y_0))dt, \quad \xi(0) = x_0. \tag{3.2}$$

Definition 3.2 *Let $K(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the annulus Loenwer hulls and trace of modulus p driven by the above ξ. Then we call $K(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, the (crossing) annulus SLE(κ,Λ) process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a with marked point b.*

We will see that the above definition does not depend on the choices of x_0 and y_0. Suppose we have another pair $(\widehat{x}_0, \widehat{y}_0)$ such that $a = e^{i\widehat{x}_0}$ and $b = e^{-p+i\widehat{y}_0}$. Then there are $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\widehat{x}_0 - x_0 = 2m\pi$ and $\widehat{y}_0 - y_0 = 2n\pi$. Let $\widehat{f}(t) = f(t) + 2m\pi$ and $\widehat{q}(t) = q(t) + 2n\pi$. Since Λ and

$\mathbf{H}_I$ have period 2π in the second variable, so $\widehat{f}(t)$ and $\widehat{q}(t)$ solve (3.1) with x_0 and y_0 replaced by $\widehat{x}_0$ and $\widehat{y}_0$, respectively. Then we get the driving function $\widehat{\xi}(t) = \widehat{f}(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t) = \xi(t) + 2n\pi$. Then $\widehat{\xi}$ generates the same annulus Loewner process as ξ since $e^{i\widehat{\xi}(t)} = e^{i\xi(t)}$.

Now suppose Λ is a chordal-type annulus drift function. Let $\kappa \ge 0$, $p > 0$, and $a, b \in \mathbb{T}$. Choose $x_0, y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a = e^{ix_0}$ and $b = e^{iy_0}$. Let $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion. Let $f(t)$ and $q(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, be the solution to the following system of ODEs:

$$\begin{cases} f'(t) = \Lambda(p - t, f(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t) - q(t)), & f(0) = x_0; \\ q'(t) = \mathbf{H}(p - t, q(t) - f(t) - \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)), & q(0) = y_0. \end{cases}$$

Suppose $T \in (0, p]$ is such that $[0, T)$ is the maximal interval of the solution. Let $\xi(t) = f(t) + \sqrt{\kappa}B(t)$, $0 \le t < T$. Let $\widetilde{g}^{\xi}(t, \cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, be the covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus p driven by ξ. From (2.17), we have $\widetilde{g}^{\xi}(t, y_0) = q(t)$ for $0 \le t < T$. So $\xi(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, satisfies the SDE:

$$d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t) + \Lambda(p - t, \xi(t) - \widetilde{g}^{\xi}(t, y_0))dt, \quad \xi(0) = x_0.$$

Definition 3.3 *Let $K(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, be the annulus Loenwer hulls and trace of modulus p driven by the above ξ. Then we call $K(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, the (chordal-type) annulus SLE(κ, Λ) process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a with marked point b.*

For the same reason as before, the definition of the above chordal-type SLE(κ, Λ) process does not depend on the choices of x_0 and y_0.

In Definition 3.2 and Definition 3.3, since ξ a semi-martingale with $\langle \xi \rangle_t = \kappa t$, so from the existence of annulus SLE$_\kappa$ trace and Girsanov Theorem, $\beta(t)$ defined by (2.20) a.s. exists for $0 \le t < p$ or $0 \le t < T$, and has properties similar to the standard annulus SLE$_\kappa$ trace. We call such β the annulus SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a with marked point b.

The crossing or chordal-type annulus SLE(κ, Λ) process is self conformally invariant. This means that if β is an annulus SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a with marked point b, and W maps $\mathbb{A}_p$ conformally onto itself and fixes $\mathbb{T}$, then $W(\beta)$ is an annulus SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $W(a)$ with marked point $W(b)$. If this W is a conjugate conformal map, and Λ_I is the dual function of Λ, then $W(\beta)$ is an annulus SLE(κ, Λ_I) trace in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $W(a)$ with marked point $W(b)$. So if Λ is symmetric, then the annulus SLE(κ, Λ) process is also self conjugate conformally invariant. Via conformal maps, we can then define annulus SLE(κ, Λ) process in any doubly connected domain started from one boundary point with another marked boundary point. Here Λ is a chordal-type or crossing annulus drift function depending on whether or not the initial point and marked point lie on the same boundary component.

Lemma 3.1 *Let ξ be as in Definition 3.2. Let Λ_I be the dual function of Λ. Then the inverted annulus Loenwer hulls of modulus p driven by ξ have the distribution as annulus SLE(κ, Λ_I) hulls in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from $e^{-p}a$ with marked point $e^{p}b$.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $I_p(z) = e^{-p}/\overline{z}$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p$ conjugate conformally onto itself, maps (a, b) to $(e^{-p}a, e^{p}b)$, and maps $K(t)$ onto $K_I(t)$. $\square$

3.2 Disc SLE processes with one marked point

Definition 3.4 *Let $\kappa > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{T}$, and Λ be a crossing annulus drift function. Let Λ_I be the dual function of Λ. Choose $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{iy_0} = b$. Suppose $\xi(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, is a real valued continuous random process which satisfies that, for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$,*

$$B_{t_0}(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}\Big(\xi(t_0+t) - \xi(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} \Lambda_I(-s, \xi(s) - \widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(s, y_0))ds\Big), \quad 0 \le t < -t_0, \qquad (3.3)$$

is a standard Brownian motion, and is independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. Here $\widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(t, \cdot)$ are the covering disc Loewner maps driven by ξ. Then we call the disc Loewner hulls driven by ξ the standard disc SLE(κ, Λ) hulls in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point b.

Remark. In fact, the conditions in Definition 3.4 are equivalent to that there exists some $S \in (-\infty, 0)$, such that for $t_0 \in (-\infty, S)$, B_{t_0} satisfies the condition in the definition. To see this, suppose the condition holds for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, S)$, and we we choose any $t_1 \in [S, 0)$. We will show that $B_{t_1}(t)$, $0 \le t < -t_1$, is a Brownian motion independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_1$. Choose any $t_0 \in (-\infty, S)$. From the assumption, $B_{t_0}(t)$, $0 \le t < -t_0$, is a Brownian motion independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. Note that $B_{t_1}(t) = B_{t_0}(t_1 - t_0 + t) - B_{t_0}(t_1 - t_0)$. So $B_{t_1}(t)$, $0 \le t < -t_1$, is a Brownian motion independent of both $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$ and $B_{t_0}(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_1 - t_0$. From (3.3) and that Λ has period 2π in the second variable, we see that $\xi(t_0 + t) - \xi(t_0)$ is adapted w.r.t. the filtration $(\mathcal{F}_t^{t_0})$, where $\mathcal{F}_t^{t_0} = \mathcal{F}(e^{i\xi(t_0)}, B_{t_0}(s), 0 \le s \le t)$. So $B_{t_1}(t)$, $0 \le t < -t_1$, is independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$, and $\xi(t_0+t) - \xi(t_0)$, $0 \le t \le t_1 - t_0$. Thus, $B_{t_1}(t)$, $0 \le t < -t_1$, is independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_1$.

Now we consider the existence and uniqueness of the disc SLE(κ, Λ) process. Let $\Phi_I = \Lambda_I + \mathbf{H}_I$. Let $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion. For $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t)$, $t_0 \le t < 0$, be the solution of the SDE:

$$d\widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB(t - t_0) + \Phi_I(-t, \widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t))dt, \quad \widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t_0) = x_0.$$

Then $(\widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t))$ is a real valued Markaov process. Since Φ_I has period 2π in the second variable, so for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0+2n\pi}(t) = \widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t) + 2n\pi$. For $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, choose $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{ix_0} = w_0$, and define $X_{t_0,w_0}(t) = e^{i}(\widetilde{X}_{t_0,x_0}(t))$. Then the definition does not depend on the choice of x_0, and $(X_{t_0,x_0}(t))$ is a $\mathbb{T}$-valued Markaov process. Let (P_{t_0,t_1}) be its transition probabilities, i.e., for $t_0 \le t_1 \in (-\infty, 0)$, $w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, and a measurable set $A \subset \mathbb{T}$,

$$P_{t_0,t_1}(w_0, A) = \mathbf{P}\,[X_{t_0,w_0}(t_1) \in A].$$

The family $\{P_{t_1,t_2} : t_1 \le t_1 \in (-\infty, 0)\}$ is consistent in the sense that if $t_1 \le t_2 \le t_3$ then $P_{t_1,t_2} * P_{t_2,t_3} = P_{t_1,t_3}$. We claim that there is a family of distributions $\{\mu_t : t \in (-\infty, 0)\}$ on $\mathbb{T}$ such that for any $t_0 \le t_1 \in (-\infty, 0)$, $\mu_{t_0} * P_{t_0,t_1} = \mu_{t_1}$.

To prove the above claim, consider $-m \le -n \in -\mathbb{N}$. Then $P_{-m,-n}(1, \cdot)$ is a probability measure on $\mathbb{T}$. Let $-n$ be fixed and $-m \to -\infty$. Since $\mathbb{T}$ is compact, so $(P_{-m,-n}(1, \cdot))_{m=n}^{\infty}$ has a weakly convergent subsequence. By a diagonal argument, we can find a subsequence of $\mathbb{N}$: $(m_k)_{k=1}^\infty$ such that for any $-n \in -\mathbb{N}$, the sequence of measures $(P_{-m_k,-n}(1,\cdot))_{k=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to some measure, say μ_{-n} on $\mathbb{T}$. For any $-n_1 \le -n_2 \in -\mathbb{N}$, if k is big enough, then $-m_k \le -n_1$, and we have $P_{-m_k,-n_1}(1,\cdot) * P_{n_1,n_2} = P_{-m_k,-n_2}$. Letting $k \to \infty$, we get $\mu_{-n_1} * P_{-n_1,-n_2} = \mu_{-n_2}$. Finally, for each $t \in (-\infty, 0)$ we choose $-n \in -\mathbb{N}$ such that $-n < t$ and define $\mu_t = \mu_{-n} * P_{-n,t}$. Then the definition of μ_t does not depend on the choice of $-n$, and the family $(\mu_t : t \in (-\infty, 0))$ satisfies the property in the above claim.

From Kolmogorov extension theorem, there is a continuous $\mathbb{T}$-valued Markov process $(X(t) : -\infty < t < 0)$ with transition probability $\{P_{t_1,t_2}\}$ such that $X(t) \sim \mu_t$ for any $t \in (-\infty, 0)$. Choose a continuous real valued process $(\widetilde{X}(t) : -\infty < t < 0)$ such that $X(t) = e^i(\widetilde{X}(t))$, $-\infty < t < 0$. Now define

$$q(t) = y_0 - \int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbf{H}_I(-s, \widetilde{X}(s))ds, \quad -\infty < t < 0. \tag{3.4}$$

From Lemma 2.3, the above improper integral converges, so $q(t)$ are well defined. Define

$$\xi(t) = q(t) + \widetilde{X}(t), \quad -\infty < t < 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Let $\widetilde{g}_I^\xi(t,\cdot)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, be the covering disc Loewner maps driven by ξ. Then from (2.26) and (2.27), $q(t) = \widetilde{g}_I^\xi(t, y_0)$, $-\infty < t < 0$. For $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$, let $B_{t_0}(t)$ be defined by (3.3). Then

$$\sqrt{\kappa}B_{t_0}(t) = \widetilde{X}(t_0 + t) - \widetilde{X}(t_0) - \int_{t_0}^{t_0+t} \Phi_I(-s, \widetilde{X}(s))ds, \quad 0 \le t < -t_0. \tag{3.6}$$

We claim that $(B_{t_0}(t))$ is a Brownian motion independent of $e^{i\widetilde{X}(t)} = X(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. Then from (3.4) and (3.5), $(B_{t_0}(t))$ is also independent of $e^{i\xi(t)}$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. So we have the existence of the disc SLE(κ, Λ) process.

To prove the above claim, we choose a Brownian motion $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)$, $0 \le t < t_0$, that is independent of $\widetilde{X}(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. Define another process $\widetilde{Y}(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, such that for $-\infty < t \le t_0$, $\widetilde{Y}(t) = \widetilde{X}(t)$; for $t_0 \le t < 0$, $\widetilde{Y}(t)$ is the solution of

$$d\widetilde{Y}(t) = -\sqrt{\kappa}d\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t - t_0) + \Phi_I(-t, \widetilde{Y}(t))dt, \quad \widetilde{Y}(t_0) = \widetilde{X}(t_0). \tag{3.7}$$

Let $Y(t) = e^i(\widetilde{Y}(t))$, $-\infty < t < 0$. From the transition probability we see that $(Y(t))$ has the same distribution as $(X(t))$. Now we condition on $X(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. Since $(Y(t)/Y(t_0), t_0 \le t < 0)$ has the same distribution as $(X(t)/X(t_0) : t_0 \le t < 0)$, $Y = e^i(\widetilde{Y})$, $X = e^i(\widetilde{X})$, and both $\widetilde{Y}(t)$ and $\widetilde{X}(t)$ are continuous, so $(\widetilde{Y}(t) - \widetilde{Y}(t_0) : t_0 \le t < 0)$ has the same distribution as $(\widetilde{X}(t) - \widetilde{X}(t_0) : t_0 \le t < 0)$. Since Φ_I has period 2π in the second variable, so $(\Phi_I(-t, \widetilde{Y}(t)) : t_0 \le t < 0)$ has the same distribution as $(\Phi_I(-t, \widetilde{X}(t)) : t_0 \le t < 0)$. Comparing (3.6) with (3.7), we conclude that, conditioning on $X(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$, $(\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t))$ has the same distribution as $(B_{t_0}(t))$. Since $(\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t))$ is independent of $\widetilde{X}(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$, so conditioning on $X(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$, $(\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t))$ is a Brownian motion, which implies that $(B_{t_0}(t))$ is also a Brownian motion under the same conditioning. Thus, $(B_{t_0}(t))$ is a Brownian motion independent of $X(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_0$. This finishes the proof of existence.

Now we discuss the uniqueness. Suppose $(\xi(t))$ satisfies the condition in Definition 3.4. Let $\widetilde{X}(t) = \xi(t) - \widetilde{g}_I^{\xi}(t, y_0)$ and $X(t) = e^i(\widetilde{X}(t))$, $-\infty < t < 0$. Then from a similar argument, we can conclude that $(X(t) : -\infty < t < 0)$ is a Markov process with transition probability $\{P_{t_1,t_2}\}$. Since we have

$$e^{i\xi(t)} = \frac{e^{iy_0}}{X(t)} \exp\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{t} \mathbf{S}_I(-s, X(s))ds\Big), \quad -\infty < t < 0,$$

and the disc Loewner hulls driven by ξ are determined by $(e^{i\xi(t)})$, so we suffice to show that the distribution of the Markov process with transition probability $\{P_{t_1,t_2}\}$ is unique.

Suppose $(X(t))$ is a Markov process with transition probability $\{P_{t_1,t_2}\}$. Let ν_t denote the distribution of $X(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$. It suffices to show that $\nu_t = \mu_t$ for $-\infty < t < 0$. Now for $-m \le -n \in -\mathbb{N}$, we have $\nu_{-m} * P_{-m,-n} = \nu_{-n}$. Assume that for any $w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$, as $-m \to -\infty$, $P_{-m,-n}(w_0, \cdot)$ converges weakly to a measure depending only on $-n$, and the convergence is uniform in $w_0 \in \mathbb{T}$. Then the limit measure must be μ_{-n} because of the definition of μ_{-n}. Letting $-m \to -\infty$ in the equality $\nu_{-m} * P_{-m,-n} = \nu_{-n}$, we get $\mu_{-n} = \nu_{-n}$ for any $-n \in -\mathbb{N}$. Finally, for any $t \in (-\infty, 0)$, we may choose $-n \in -\mathbb{N}$ such that $-n \le t$. Then $\nu_t = \nu_{-n} * P_{-n,t} = \mu_{-n} * P_{-n,t} = \mu_t$.

To get the uniqueness, we want that the Prohorov diameter of the set $\{P_{t,-n}(w_0, \cdot) : t \le t_0, w \in \mathbb{T}\}$ tends to 0 as $t_0 \to -\infty$. Suppose $t_1 \le t_2 \in (-\infty, 0)$ and $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. We need to know whether the Prohorov distance between $P_{t_1,-n}(w_1, \cdot)$ and $P_{t_2,-n}(w_2, \cdot)$ tends to 0 as $t_1, t_2 \to -\infty$, uniform in $w_1, w_2 \in \mathbb{T}$. For this purpose we may construct a coupling of two random variables A_1 and A_2 with these two distributions respectively, such that $\mathbf{P}[A_1 = A_2]$ tends to 1 as $t_1, t_2 \to -\infty$. Now we run two independent Markov processes $(X_1(t) : t_1 \le t < 0)$ and $(X_2(t) : t_2 \le t < 0)$ started from $X_j(t_j) = w_j$, $j = 1, 2$, such that they both have transition probability $\{P_{t_1,t_2}\}$. Let $A_j = X_j(-n)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $A_j \sim P_{t_j,-n}(w_j, \cdot)$, $j = 1, 2$. Let τ be the first t such that $X_1(t) = X_2(t)$; if such time does not exist, let $\tau = 0$. Let $(\widehat{X}_1(t)) = (X_1(t))$. Define $\widehat{X}_2$ such that $\widehat{X}_2(t) = X_2(t)$ for $t \le \tau$ and $\widehat{X}_2(t) = X_1(t)$ for $t \ge \tau$. Then for $j = 1, 2$, $(\widehat{X}_j(t))$ has the same distribution as $(X_j(t))$. Define $\widehat{A}_j$ for $\widehat{X}_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Then $\widehat{A}_j \sim P_{t_j,-n}(w_j, \cdot)$, $j = 1, 2$, as well. Now we have $\{A_1 = A_2\} = \{\tau \le -n\}$. For the uniqueness, we want that $\mathbf{P}[\tau \le -n] \to 1$ as $t_1, t_2 \to -\infty$. For example, if Λ is uniformly bounded on $(-\infty, t_0] \times \mathbb{R}$ for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, 0)$, then this holds. In general, we do not expect the uniqueness of disc SLE(κ, Λ) process. We will not go into details of this discussion.

Let ξ be as in Definition 3.4. Let $K_I(t)$ and $g_I(t, \cdot)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, be the disc Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ, respectively. Then a.s.

$$\beta_I(t) := \lim_{\mathbb{A}_{-t} \ni z \to e^{t+i\xi(t)}} g_I(t, \cdot)^{-1}(z)$$

exists for $-\infty < t < 0$, and $\beta_I(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, is a continuous curve in $\mathbb{D}$ with $\lim_{t \to -\infty} \beta_I(t) = 0$. We call β_I the disc SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point b. If $\kappa \in (0, 4]$, then β_I is a simple curve and $K_I(t)=\beta_I([-\infty,t])$; if $\kappa>4$, then β_I is not simple, and $\mathbb{C}\setminus K_I(t)$ is the unbounded component of $\mathbb{C}\setminus\beta_I([-\infty,t])$.

The definition of disc SLE(κ,Λ) process is self conformally invariant. If β is a disc SLE(κ,Λ) trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point b, and W maps $\mathbb{D}$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, and fixes 0, then $W(\beta)$ has the distribution as a disc SLE(κ,Λ) trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $W(b)$. If W is a conjugate conformal map, then $W(\beta)$ is a disc SLE(κ,Λ_I) trace. So via conformal maps, we can define SLE(κ,Λ) hulls or trace in any simply connected domain started from an interior point with some marked boundary point.

The disc SLE(κ,Λ) process is related to annulus SLE(κ,Λ) process in the following way. Suppose $\beta_I(t)$, $-\infty<t<0$, is a disc SLE(κ,Λ) trace started from a with marked point b. Let $K_I(t)$, $-\infty<t<0$, be the corresponding hulls. Fix $t_0\in(-\infty,0)$. If we condition on $K_I(t)$, $-\infty<t\le t_0$, then $\beta_I(t_0+t)$, $0\le t<-t_0$, is an annulus SLE(κ,Λ) trace in $D\setminus K_I(t_0)$ started from $\beta_I(t_0)$ with marked point b. This follows from the two definitions and Lemma 3.1.

4 Coupling of Two Annulus SLE Processes

In this section, we will prove the following theorem. Recall that $I_p(z)=e^{-p}/\overline{z}$.

Theorem 4.1 *Let $\kappa>0$. Suppose Λ is a $C^{1,2}$ differentiable crossing annulus drift function, and satisfies the following PDE:*

$$\dot{\Lambda}=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda''+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I''+\Lambda\mathbf{H}_I'+\mathbf{H}_I\Lambda'+\Lambda\Lambda' \tag{4.1}$$

on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, where the dot denotes the partial derivative w.r.t. the first variable, and the primes denote the partial derivatives w.r.t. the second variable. Moreover, suppose that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi}\Lambda(p,x)dx=0,\quad 0<p<\infty. \tag{4.2}$$

Let $\Lambda_1=\Lambda$, and Λ_2 be the dual function of Λ. Then for any $p>0$, $a_1,a_2\in\mathbb{T}$, there is a coupling of two processes $K_1(t)$ and $K_2(t)$, $0\le t<p$, such that for $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$, the followings hold.

(i) $K_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$, is an annulus SLE(κ,Λ_j) process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a_j with marked point $a_{I,k}:=I_p(a_k)$.

(ii) If $t_k<p$ is a stopping time for $(K_k(t))$, then conditioned on $K_k(t)$, $0\le t\le t_k$, after a time-change, $K_j(t)$, $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$, is a stopped annulus SLE(κ,Λ_j) process in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus I_p(K_k(t_k))$ started from a_j with marked point $\beta_{I,k}(t_k);=I_p(\beta_k(t_k))$, where $\beta_k(t)$ is the trace that corresponds to $K_k(t)$, $0\le t<p$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $(0,p]$ such that $K_j(t)\cap I_p(K_k(t_k))=\emptyset$ for $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$.

Lemma 4.1 *Fix $\kappa > 0$. Suppose Λ is a crossing annulus drift function that satisfies (4.1). Then there is a positive $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function Γ on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$, which satisfies*

$$\frac{\Gamma'}{\Gamma} = \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$\dot{\Gamma} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Gamma'' + \mathbf{H}_I\Gamma' + \frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}\mathbf{H}_I'\Gamma. \tag{4.4}$$

Moreover, if Λ satisfies (4.2) then Γ has period 2π in the second variable.

Proof. Define $\widehat{\Gamma}$ on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widehat{\Gamma}(t,x) = \exp\Big(\int_0^x \frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda(t,y)dy\Big).$$

Then we have $\frac{\widehat{\Gamma}'}{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \frac{\Lambda}{\kappa}$. So $\dot{\Lambda} = \kappa(\frac{\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}}}{\widehat{\Gamma}})'$. From (4.1) we have

$$\kappa\Big(\frac{\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}}}{\widehat{\Gamma}}\Big)' = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I'' + (\Lambda\mathbf{H}_I)' + \frac{(\Lambda^2)'}{2}.$$

So for each $t\in(0,\infty)$ there is $C(t)\in\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\kappa\cdot\frac{\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}}}{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda' + \mathbf{H}_I\Lambda + \Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}_I' + \frac{\Lambda^2}{2} + C(t).$$

So $C(t)$ is continuous. Since $\Lambda = \kappa\frac{\widehat{\Gamma}'}{\widehat{\Gamma}}$, so $\Lambda' = \kappa\frac{\widehat{\Gamma}''}{\widehat{\Gamma}} - \kappa(\frac{\widehat{\Gamma}'}{\widehat{\Gamma}})^2$. From the above formula, we have

$$\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat{\Gamma}'' + \mathbf{H}_I\widehat{\Gamma}' + \frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}\mathbf{H}_I'\widehat{\Gamma} + \frac{1}{\kappa}C(t)\widehat{\Gamma}.$$

Let

$$\Gamma(t,x) = \widehat{\Gamma}(t,x)\exp\Big(-\int_1^t \frac{1}{\kappa}C(s)ds\Big).$$

Then it is easy to see that Γ satisfies (4.3) and (4.4). Finally, if Λ satisfies (4.2) then from (4.3) we see that Γ has period 2π in the second variable. $\Box$

4.1 Ensemble

Let $p>0$, and $\xi_1,\xi_2\in C([0,p))$. For $j=1,2$, let $K_j(t)$ and $g_j(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $K_{I,j}(t)$ and $g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$), $0\le t<p$, be the annulus (resp. inverted annulus) Loewner hulls and maps of modulus p driven by ξ_j. Let $\widetilde{K}_j(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $0\le t<p$, $j=1,2$, be the corresponding covering Loewner hulls and maps. Then $K_{I,j}(t) = I_p(K_j(t))$, $j=1,2$. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t_1,t_2)\in[0,p)^2 : K_1(t_1)\cap K_{I,2}(t_2)=\emptyset\} = \{(t_1,t_2)\in[0,p)^2 : K_{I,1}(t_1)\cap K_2(t_2)=\emptyset\}.$$

For $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ and $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)\setminus K_2(t_2)$ are doubly connected domains that have the same modulus, so we may define

$$\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)=\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_1(t_1)\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2))=\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)\setminus K_2(t_2)). \tag{4.5}$$

Fix any $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ and $t_k\in[0,p)$. Let $T_j(t_k)=\sup\{t_j : K_j(t_j)\cap K_{I,k}(t_k)=\emptyset\}$. Then for any $t_j<T_j(t_k)$, we have $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$. Moreover, as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)$, the spherical distance between $K_j(t_j)$ and $K_{I,k}(t_k)$ tends to 0, so $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)\to 0$.

From Proposition 2.4, $K_j(t_j)$, $0\le t_j<p$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_p$. Since for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, $K_j(t_j)$ lies in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$, so $K_j(t_j)$, $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is also a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$. Since $g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$, so from conformal invariance of extremal length, $K_{j,t_k}(t_j):=g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j))$, $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, is a Loewner chain in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t_k}$. Now we apply Proposition 2.4. Let

$$\begin{aligned} v_{j,t_k}(t_j)&=\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}}(K_{j,t_k}(t_j))=p-t_k-\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}\setminus g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j)))\\ &=p-t_k-\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)\setminus K_j(t_j))=p-t_k-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2). \end{aligned}\tag{4.6}$$

Here the third "=" holds because $g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)\setminus K_j(t_j)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k}\setminus g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j))$. Then v_{j,t_k} is continuous and increasing, and maps $[0,T_j(t_k))$ onto $[0,S_{j,t_k})$ for some $S_{j,t_k}\in(0,p-t_k]$. Since $\mathrm{m}\to 0$ as $t_j\to T_j(t_k)$, so $S_{j,t_k}=p-t_k$. Then $L_{j,t_k}(t):=K_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}^{-1}(t)))$, $0\le t<p-t_k$, are annulus Loewner hulls of modulus $p-t_k$ driven by some $\zeta_{j,t_k}\in C([0,p-t_k))$. Let $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$ be the corresponding inverted annulus Loewner hulls. Let $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding annulus and inverted annulus Loewner maps. Let $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering hulls and maps

For $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, let $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ be the time-change of $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$, $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, respectively, via the map v_{j,t_k}. For example, this means that $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)=\zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ and $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)=h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j),\cdot)$.

From (2.16), for $0\le t_j<p$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,p-t_j)$, $g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))$ is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{p-t_j}$, and

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}}(g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j)))=\varepsilon. \tag{4.7}$$

From Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\{e^{i\xi_j(t_j)}\}=\bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,p-t_j)}\overline{g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))},\quad 0\le t_j<p. \tag{4.8}$$

From (2.16) and (4.6), for $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)$,

$$g_{j,t_k}(t_j,K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))=h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k},L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon))\setminus L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j)))$$

is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_k-v_{j,t_k}(t)}=\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$, and

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}}}(g_{j,t_k}(t_j,K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j)))=v_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)-v_{j,t_k}(t_j). \tag{4.9}$$

From Proposition 2.4, we have

$$\{e^{i\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)} \overline{g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))}, \quad 0\le t_j<p. \tag{4.10}$$

For $0\le t_j<T_j(t_k)$, let

$$G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)\circ g_j(t_j,\cdot)^{-1}; \tag{4.11}$$

$$\widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ \widetilde g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)\circ \widetilde g_j(t_j,\cdot)^{-1}. \tag{4.12}$$

Then $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j}\setminus g_j(t_j,K_{I,k}(t_k))$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$; $e^i\circ \widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ e^i$, and $\widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$. For any $t_j\in[0,T_j(t_k))$ and $\varepsilon\in(0,T_j(t_k)-t_j)$, we have

$$G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, g_j(t_j,K_j(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_j(t_j))) = g_{j,t_k}(t_j,K_{j,t_k}(t_j+\varepsilon)\setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{4.13}$$

From (4.8), (4.10), and (4.13), we have $e^{i\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)} = G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,e^{i\xi_j(t_j)}) = e^i\circ\widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))$. So there is $n\in\mathbb{Z}$ such that $\widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)) = \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)+2n\pi$ for $0\le t_j<p$. Since $\zeta_{j,t_k}+2n\pi$ generates the same annulus Loewner hulls as ζ_{j,t_k}, so we may choose ζ_{j,t_k} such that

$$\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)), \quad 0\le t_j<T_j(t_k). \tag{4.14}$$

From (4.7), (4.9), and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$v'_{j,t_k}(t_j) = |G'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))|^2 = \widetilde G'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2, \quad 0\le t_j<T_j(t_k). \tag{4.15}$$

From (4.6) we have

$$\partial_j\,\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) = -\widetilde G'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2, \quad 0\le t_j<T_j(t_k). \tag{4.16}$$

Since $\widetilde g_j(t,\cdot)$ are covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus p driven by ξ, so it satisfies

$$\dot{\widetilde g}_j(t_j,z) = \mathbf{H}(p-t_j,\widetilde g_j(t,z)-\xi_j(t_j)), \quad 0\le t_j<p. \tag{4.17}$$

Since $\widetilde g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j),\cdot)$, $\widetilde h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ are covering annulus Loewner maps of modulus $p-t_k$ driven by ζ_{j,t_k}, and $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$, so from (4.6) and (4.15), we have

$$\dot{\widetilde g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,z) = \widetilde G'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),\widetilde g_{j,t_k}(t_j,z)-\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)), \quad 0\le t_j<T_j(t_k). \tag{4.18}$$

From (4.12) we see that, for any $z\in\mathbb{S}_p\setminus\widetilde K_j(t_j)\setminus\widetilde K_{I,k}(t_k)$, we have

$$\widetilde G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde g_j(t_j,z) = \widetilde g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde g_{I,k}(t_k,z). \tag{4.19}$$

Differentiate (4.19) w.r.t. t_j. From (4.14), (4.17), and (4.18), we get

$$\dot{\widetilde G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde g_j(t_j,z)) + \widetilde G'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde g_j(t_j,z))\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,\widetilde g_j(t_j,z)-\xi_j(t_j))$$

$$= \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,z)) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))).$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_p \setminus \widetilde{K}_j(t_j) \setminus \widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j} \setminus \widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$, so from the above formula, we see that, for any $w \in \mathbb{S}_{p-t_j} \setminus \widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$,

$$\dot{\widetilde{G}}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) = \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{H}(\mathrm{m},\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)))$$
$$- \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{4.20}$$

Since $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j} \setminus \widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$ contains a neighborhood of $\mathbb{R}$ in $\mathbb{H}$, so we may let $w \to \xi_j(t_j)$ in $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j} \setminus \widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\widetilde{K}_{I,k}(t_k))$ in (4.20). From (2.13), we get

$$\dot{\widetilde{G}}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)) = -3\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)). \tag{4.21}$$

Differentiate (4.20) w.r.t. w. Then we have

$$\dot{\widetilde{G}'}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) = \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}'(\mathrm{m},\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w) - \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j)))$$
$$-\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)) - \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,w)\mathbf{H}'(p-t_j,w-\xi_j(t_j)).$$

In the above formula, let $w \to \xi_j(t_j)$ in $\mathbb{S}_{p-t_j} \setminus g_j(t_j,K_{I,k}(t_k))$. From (2.13), we have

$$\frac{\dot{\widetilde{G}'}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))} = \frac{1}{2}\cdot\Big(\frac{\widetilde{G}''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}\Big)^2 - \frac{4}{3}\cdot\frac{\widetilde{G}'''_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}{\widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))}$$
$$+ \widetilde{G}'_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m}) - \mathbf{r}(p-t_j). \tag{4.22}$$

Remark. It is in [8] that the ideas behind (4.14), (4.15), and (4.21) first appeared, which were there to show that SLE_6 satisfies locality property. The first formula that is similar to (4.22) appeared in [10], which was used to show that $\mathrm{SLE}_{8/3}$ satisfies restriction property.

From the definition of inverted annulus Loewner maps, we see that $h_{I,k,t_j}(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j} \setminus L_{I,k,t_j}(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j-t}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. Since $g_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot) = h_{I,k,t_j}(v_{k,t_j}(t_k),\cdot)$, $K_{I,k,t_j}(t_k) = L_{I,k,t_j}(v_{k,t_j}(t_k))$, so from (4.6), both $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $g_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)$ map $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_j} \setminus K_{I,k,t_j}(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. So they differ by a multiplicative constant of modulus 1. Since $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) \circ e^i = e^i \circ \widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) \circ e^i = e^i \circ \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, so there is $C_k(t_1,t_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot) + C_k(t_1,t_2). \tag{4.23}$$

Exchanging j and k in (4.23), we have $C_j(t_1,t_2) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\widetilde{G}_{I,j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot) = \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot) + C_j(t_1,t_2). \tag{4.24}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ are time-changes of $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ via v_{j,t_k}, respectively, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k-t}\circ\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}$, so from (4.6), we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}; \tag{4.25}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}\circ\widetilde{g}_{k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_j}. \tag{4.26}$$

Now let

$$\widetilde{G}_{j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}\circ\widetilde{G}_{I,j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}. \tag{4.27}$$

Then from (4.24), (4.25), and (4.27), we have

$$\widetilde{G}_{j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)+C_j(t_1,t_2). \tag{4.28}$$

Exchanging j and k in (4.19), and using (4.26), (4.27), and that $\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_j}\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_p$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot)=\widetilde{I}_{p-t_k}\circ\widetilde{g}_k(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{I}_p$, we get

$$\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{G}_{j,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)\circ\widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot). \tag{4.29}$$

Comparing (4.29) with (4.19), and using (4.23) and (4.28), we see that

$$C_1(t_1,t_2)+C_2(t_1,t_2)=0. \tag{4.30}$$

From (4.14), for $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$X_j(t_1,t_2)=\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\xi_k(t_k))=\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\xi_j(t_j))-\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\xi_k(t_k)). \tag{4.31}$$

From (4.23), (4.24) and (4.30) we have

$$X_1(t_1,t_2)+X_2(t_1,t_2)=0. \tag{4.32}$$

For $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we define

$$A_{j,h}(t_1,t_2)=\widetilde{g}^{(h)}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\xi_j(t_j)),\quad h=0,1,2,3, \tag{4.33}$$

$$A_{j,S}(t_1,t_2)=\frac{A_{j,3}(t_1,t_2)}{A_{j,1}(t_1,t_2)}-\frac{3}{2}\Big(\frac{A_{j,2}(t_1,t_2)}{A_{j,1}(t_1,t_2)}\Big)^2, \tag{4.34}$$

where the superscript "(h)" denotes the h-th partial derivative w.r.t. the second variable, so $A_{j,S}(t_1,t_2)$ is the Schwarzian derivative of $\widetilde{g}_{I,k,t_j}(t_k,\cdot)$ at $\xi_j(t_j)$. Since $\mathbf{H}_I'''(p,\cdot)$ is even, so from (4.32), for $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$Q(t_1,t_2)=\mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_1(t_1,t_2))=\mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_2(t_1,t_2)). \tag{4.35}$$

$$F(t_1,t_2)=\exp\Big(\int_0^{t_2}\int_0^{t_1}A_{1,1}(s_1,s_2)^2A_{2,1}(s_1,s_2)^2Q(s_1,s_2)ds_1ds_2\Big). \tag{4.36}$$

We may rewrite (4.18) as

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{4.37}$$

Differentiate this formula w.r.t. z twice. We get

$$\frac{\dot{\widetilde{g}}'{}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)}{\widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)} = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)). \tag{4.38}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\Big(\frac{\widetilde{g}''_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)}{\widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z)}\Big) = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I''(\mathrm{m}, \widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z) - \xi_{j,t_k}(t_j))\widetilde{g}'_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,z). \tag{4.39}$$

Let $z = \xi_k(t_k)$ in (4.37), (4.38), and (4.39). Since $\mathbf{H}_I(p,\cdot)$ and $\mathbf{H}_I''(p,\cdot)$ are odd, and $\mathbf{H}_I'(p,\cdot)$ is even, so from (4.31), we have

$$\partial_j A_{k,0} = -A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_j)\partial t_j. \tag{4.40}$$

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{k,1}}{A_{k,1}} = A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}, X_j)\partial t_j. \tag{4.41}$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\Big(\frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}}\Big) = -A_{j,1}^2 \mathbf{H}_I''(\mathrm{m}, X_j)A_{k,1}. \tag{4.42}$$

Differentiate (4.39) w.r.t. z again, and let $z = \xi_k(t_k)$. Since $\mathbf{H}_I'''(p,\cdot)$ is even, so we get

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}\Big(\frac{A_{k,3}}{A_{k,1}} - \Big(\frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}}\Big)^2\Big) = A_{j,1}^2[\mathbf{H}_I'''(\mathrm{m}, X_j)A_{k,1}^2 - \mathbf{H}_I''(\mathrm{m}, X_j)A_{k,2}]. \tag{4.43}$$

From (4.34), (4.35), (4.42), and (4.43), we have

$$\partial_j A_{k,S} = A_{j,1}^2 A_{k,1}^2 Q. \tag{4.44}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(0,\cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(0,\cdot) = \mathrm{id}$, so when $t_j = 0$, we have $A_{k,1} = 1$, $A_{k,2} = A_{k,3} = 0$, hence $A_{k,S} = 0$. From (4.36) and (4.44), we see that for any $k \in \{1,2\}$,

$$\frac{\partial_k F}{F} = A_{k,S}. \tag{4.45}$$

4.2 Martingales in two time variables

Let $a_1, a_2 \in \mathbb{T}$ be as in Theorem 4.1. Let $a_{I,j} = I_p(a_j) \in \mathbb{T}_p$, $j = 1,2$. Choose $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a_j = e^{ix_j}$, $j = 1,2$. Let $B_1(t)$ and $B_2(t)$ be two independent Brownian motion, which generate filtrations $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^1)$ and $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^2)$, respectively. Let $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$ be the augmentation of $(\widetilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^j)$ w.r.t. the distribution of $(B_j(t))$, $j = 1,2$. Let Λ, Λ_1 and Λ_2 be as in Theorem 4.1. We adopt the notation in the last section. For $j = 1,2$, let $\xi_j(t_j)$, $0 \le t_j < p$, be the solution to the SDE:

$$d\xi_j(t_j) = \sqrt{\kappa}dB_j(t_j) + \Lambda_j(p - t_j, \xi_j(t_j) - \widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j, x_{3-j}))dt_j, \quad \xi_j(0) = x_j. \tag{4.46}$$

Then for $j=1,2$, $(\xi_j(t))$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$-adapted semi-martingale with $\langle \xi_j\rangle_t=\kappa t$; $(\xi_1(t))$ and $(\xi_2(t))$ are independent, and $K_j(t_j)$, $0\le t_j<p$, are annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ hulls in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a_j with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$.

As annulus Loewner objects driven by ξ_j, $(K_j(t_j))$, $(K_{I,j}(t_j))$, $(g_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot))$, $(\widetilde{g}_j(t_j,\cdot))$, and $(\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,\cdot))$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j)$-adapted. Fix $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$. Since $(K_j(t_j))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j)$-adapted, and $(g_{I,k}(t_k,\cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k)$-adapted, so $(K_{j,t_k}(t_j)=g_{I,k}(t_k,K_j(t_j)))$ defined on $\mathcal{D}$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1\times\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ are determined by $(K_{j,t_k}(s_j))$, $0\le s_j\le t_j$, so they are $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1\times\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.12), $(\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j,\cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1\times\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.14), $(\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ is also $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1\times\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.5), (4.31), (4.33), and (4.34), we see that (m), (X_j), $(A_{j,h})$, $h=0,1,2,3$, and $(A_{j,S})$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1\times\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted.

Fix $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$, and any $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time $t_k\in[0,p)$. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k}=\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j\times\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, $0\le t_j<p$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})_{0\le t_j<p}$ is a filtration. Since $(B_j(t_j))$ is independent of $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, so it is also an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})$-Brownian motion. Thus, (4.46) is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})$-adapted SDE. From now on, we will apply Itô's formula repeatedly, all SDE will be $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^{j,t_k})$-adapted, and t_j ranges in $[0,T_j(t_k))$.

From (4.21), (4.31), (4.33), and (4.40), we see that X_j satisfies

$$\partial_j X_j=A_{j,1}\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\Big(\frac{\kappa}{2}-3\Big)A_{j,2}\partial t_j+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m},X_j)\partial t_j. \tag{4.47}$$

Let Γ be as in Lemma 4. Let $\Gamma_1=\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_2(p,x)=\Gamma(p,-x)$. Since Γ and Λ satisfy (4.3) and (4.4), and $\mathbf{H}_I(p,\cdot)$ is odd, so Γ_j and Λ_j, $j=1,2$, also satisfy (4.3) and (4.4). From (4.32), for $(t_1,t_2)\in\mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$Y(t_1,t_2)=\Gamma_1(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_1(t_1,t_2))=\Gamma_2(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2),X_2(t_1,t_2)). \tag{4.48}$$

From (4.3), (4.4), (4.16), (4.47), and (4.48), we have

$$\frac{\partial_j Y}{Y}=\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,1}\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\frac{\kappa-6}{2\kappa}\Big(A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m},X_j)+\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,2}\Big)\partial t_j. \tag{4.49}$$

From (4.22) we have

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{j,1}}{A_{j,1}}=\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\cdot\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\Big(\frac{1}{2}\cdot\Big(\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\Big)^2+\Big(\frac{\kappa}{2}-\frac{4}{3}\Big)\cdot\frac{A_{j,3}}{A_{j,1}}\Big)\partial t_j$$
$$+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})\partial t_j-\mathbf{r}(p-t_j)\partial t_j.$$

Let

$$\alpha=\frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa},\qquad c=\frac{(8-3\kappa)(\kappa-6)}{2\kappa}. \tag{4.50}$$

Actually, c is the central charge for SLE_κ. Then we compute

$$\frac{\partial_j A_{j,1}^\alpha}{A_{j,1}^\alpha}=\alpha\cdot\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\cdot\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\frac{c}{6}A_{j,S}\partial t_j+\alpha A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m})\partial t_j-\alpha\mathbf{r}(p-t_j)\partial t_j. \tag{4.51}$$

Recall the definition of $\mathbf{R}$ in (2.14). Define $\widehat{M}$ on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$\widehat{M}=A_{1,1}^{\alpha}A_{2,1}^{\alpha}F^{-c/6}Y\exp(\alpha\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})-\alpha\mathbf{R}(p-t_1)-\alpha\mathbf{R}(p-t_2)+\alpha\mathbf{R}(p)). \tag{4.52}$$

Then $\widehat{M}$ is positive. From (4.16), (4.41), (4.45), (4.49), (4.51), and that $\mathbf{R}'(t)=\mathbf{r}(t)-\mathbf{r}(\infty)$, we have

$$\frac{\partial_j\widehat{M}}{\widehat{M}}=\frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}\cdot\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}\cdot\partial\xi_j(t_j)+\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,1}\partial\xi_j(t_j). \tag{4.53}$$

When $t_k=0$, $A_{j,1}=1$, $A_{j,2}=0$, $\mathrm{m}=p-t_j$ and $X_j=\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k)$, so the right-hand side of (4.53) becomes $\frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_j(p-t_j,\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k))\partial\xi_j(t_j)$. Define M on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$M(t_1,t_2)=\frac{\widehat{M}(t_1,t_2)\widehat{M}(0,0)}{\widehat{M}(t_1,0)\widehat{M}(0,t_2)}. \tag{4.54}$$

Then M is also positive, and $M(t_1,0)=M(0,t_2)=1$ for $t_1,t_2\in[0,p)$. From (4.46) and (4.53) we have

$$\frac{\partial_j M}{M}=\Big[\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{j,2}}{A_{j,1}}+\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)A_{j,1}-\Lambda_j(p-t_j,\xi_j(t_j)-\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t_j,x_k))\Big]\frac{\partial B_j(t_j)}{\sqrt{\kappa}}. \tag{4.55}$$

So when $t_k\in[0,p)$ is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time, M is a local martingale in t_j.

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{A}_p$ that separates $\mathbb{T}$ and $\mathbb{T}_p$. For $J\in\mathcal{J}$ and $j=1,2$, let $T_j(J)$ denote the smallest t such that $K_j(t)\cap J\ne\emptyset$. Recall that $I_p(z)=e^{-p}/\overline{z}$ and $K_{I,j}(t)=I_p(K_j(t))$, so $T_j(J)$ is also the smallest t such that $K_{I,j}(t)\cap I_p(J)\ne\emptyset$. Let JP denote the set of pairs $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathcal{J}^2$ such that $I_p(J_1)\cap J_2=\emptyset$ and $I_p(J_1)$ is surrounded by J_2. This is equivalent to that $I_p(J_2)\cap J_1=\emptyset$ and $I_p(J_2)$ is surrounded by J_1. Then for every $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$, $K_{I,1}(t_1)\cap K_2(t_2)=\emptyset$ when $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, so $[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]\subset\mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 4.2 *(Boundedness) Fix* $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$. *Then* $|\ln(M)|$ *is bounded on* $[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]$ *by a constant depending only on* J_1 *and* J_2.

Proof. In this proof, we say a function is uniformly bounded if its values on $[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]$ are bounded by a constant depending only on J_1 and J_2. From (4.52) and (4.54) and that $p-t_1=\mathrm{m}(t_1,0)$, $p-t_2=\mathrm{m}(0,t_2)$, and $p=\mathrm{m}(0,0)$, we suffice to show that $\ln(A_{1,1})$, $\ln(A_{2,1})$, $\ln(F)$, $\ln(Y)$, and $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})$ are all uniformly bounded. From (4.16) we have $\mathrm{m}\le p$. Let $D(J_1,J_2)$ denote the doubly connected domain bounded by $I_0(J_1)$ and J_2. Let $p_0>0$ denote its modulus. For $(t_1,t_2)\in[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]$, $D(J_1,J_2)$ disconnects $K_{I,1}(t_1)$ from $K_2(t_2)$, so we have $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)\ge p_0$. Thus, $\mathrm{m}\in[p_0,p]$ on $[0,T_1(J_1)]\times[0,T_2(J_2)]$. Since $\mathbf{R}$ is continuous on $(0,\infty)$, so $\mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})$ is uniformly bounded. From (4.48), $\ln(Y)=\ln(\Gamma(\mathrm{m},X_1))$. Since $\ln(\Gamma)$ is continuous on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ and has period 2π in the second variable, so $\ln(Y)$ is uniformly bounded. Similarly, Q is uniformly bounded. From Lemma 2.4, $\ln(A_{j,1})=O(\mathrm{m}\,e^{-\mathrm{m}})$, $j=1,2$. So $\ln(A_{1,1})$ and $\ln(A_{2,1})$ are uniformly bounded. Finally, from (4.36), $\ln(Y)$ is uniformly bounded. $\square$

4.3 Local coupling

Let μ_j denote the distribution of (ξ_j), $j=1,2$. Let $\mu=\mu_1\times\mu_2$. Then μ is the joint distribution of (ξ_1) and (ξ_2) since ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent. Fix $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$. From the local martingale property of M and Lemma 4.2, we have $\mathbf{E}_\mu[M(T_1(J_1),T_2(J_2))]=M(0,0)=1$. Define ν_{J_1,J_2} such that $d\nu_{J_1,J_2}/d\mu=M(T_1(J_1),T_2(J_2))$. Then ν_{J_1,J_2} is a probability measure. Let ν_1 and ν_2 be the two marginal measures of ν_{J_1,J_2}. Then $d\nu_1/d\mu_1=M(T_1(J_1),0)=1$ and $d\nu_2/d\mu_2=M(0,T_2(J_2))=1$, so $\nu_j=\mu_j$, $j=1,2$. Suppose temporarily that the joint distribution of (ξ_1) and (ξ_2) is ν_{J_1,J_2} instead of μ. Then the distribution of each (ξ_j) is still μ_j.

Fix an $(\mathcal{F}^2_t)$-stopping time $t_2\le T_2(J_2)$. From (4.46), (4.55), and Girsanov theorem (c.f. [14]), under the probability measure ν_{J_1,J_2}, there is an $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}\times\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})_{t_1\ge 0}$-Brownian motion $\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)$ such that $\xi_1(t_1)$, $0\le t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}\times\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2})_{t_1\ge 0}$-adapted SDE:

$$d\xi_1(t_1)=\sqrt{\kappa}d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{1,2}}{A_{1,1}}dt_1+\Lambda_1(\mathrm{m},X_1)A_{1,1}dt_1. \tag{4.56}$$

Note that $X_1(t_1,t_2)=\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)-\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1,\xi_2(t_2))$. From (4.14) and (4.21), we have

$$d\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)=A_{1,1}\sqrt{\kappa}d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2}(t_1)+A_{1,1}^2\Lambda_1(\mathrm{m},\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)-\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1,\xi_2(t_2)))dt_1.$$

Recall that $\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)=\xi_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}^{-1}(s_1))$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(s_1,\cdot)=\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}^{-1}(s_1),\cdot)$. So from (4.6) and (4.15), there is another Brownian motion $\widehat{B}_{1,t_2}(s_1)$ such that for $0\le s_1\le v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))$,

$$d\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)=\sqrt{\kappa}d\widehat{B}_{1,t_2}(s_1)+\Lambda_1(p-t_2-s_1,\zeta_{1,t_2}(s_1)-\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(s_1,\xi_2(t_2)))ds_1. \tag{4.57}$$

Moreover, the initial values is $\zeta_{1,t_2}(0)=\xi_{1,t_2}(0)=\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(0,x_1)=\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2,x_1)$. Since $L_{I,1,t_2}(t)$ and $\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(t)$ are inverted annulus Loewner hulls and inverted covering annlus Loewenr maps, respectively, of modulus $p-t_2$ driven by $\zeta_{1,t_2}(t)$, so from (4.57), conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$, $L_{1,t_2}(t)$, $0\le t\le v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))$, is a stopped annulus SLE(κ,Λ_1) process in $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_2}$ started from $e^i(\widetilde{g}_{I,2}(t_2,x_1))=g_{I,2}(t_2,a_1)$ with marked point $I_{p-t_2}\circ e^i(\xi_2(t_2))$. Let β_2 be the trace that corresponds to $K_2(t)$, and $\beta_{I,2}=I_p\circ\beta_2$. Then $g_{I,2}(t_2,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{p-t_2}$, and maps $\beta_{I,2}(t_2)$ to $I_{p-t_2}\circ e^i(\xi_2(t_2))$. Since $L_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1))=K_{1,t_2}(t_1)=g_{I,2}(t_2,K_1(t_1))$, so conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$, after a time-change, $K_1(t_1)$, $0\le t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, is a stopped annulus SLE(κ,Λ_1) process in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ started from a_1 with marked point $\beta_{I,2}(t_2)$. Similarly, if t_1 is a fixed $(\mathcal{F}^1_t)$-stopping time with $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, and β_1 is the trace that corresponds to $K_1(t)$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}$, after a time-change, $K_2(t_2)$, $0\le t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, is a stopped annulus SLE(κ,Λ_2) process in $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_{I,1}(t_1)$ started from a_2 with marked point $\beta_{I,1}(t_1):=I_p\circ\beta_1(t_1)$.

4.4 Global coupling

To lift the local couplings to a global coupling, we need the following theorem.

Theorem 4.2 *Suppose $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(J_1^m,J_2^m)\in\mathrm{JP}$, $1\le m\le n$. There is a continuous function $M_*(t_1,t_2)$ defined on $[0,p]^2$ that satisfies the following properties:*

(i) $M_* = M$ *on* $[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]$ *for* $1\le m\le n$*;*

(ii) $M_*(t,0)=M_*(0,t)=1$ *for any* $t\in[0,p]$*;*

(iii) $M(t_1,t_2)\in[C_1,C_2]$ *for any* $t_1,t_2\in[0,p]$*, where* $C_2>C_1>0$ *are constants depending only on* J_j^m*,* $j=1,2$*,* $1\le m\le n$*;*

(iv) fix $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ *and any* $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$*-stopping time* $t_k\in[0,p]$*,* M_* *is a bounded* $(\mathcal{F}_{t_j}^j\times\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k)_{t_j\ge0}$*-martingale in* t_j*.*

This theorem is similar to Theorem 6.1 in [25] and Theorem 4.5 in [26]. Their proofs are also similar. To save the length of the paper, we omit the most part of the proof, and only show how M_* is defined. Let S be a subset of $\{m\in\mathbb{N}: m\le n\}$ such that

$$\bigcup_{m\in S}[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]=\bigcup_{m=1}^n[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]$$

Moreover, we may assume that if another set S' also satisfies this property, then $\sum_{m\in S}m<\sum_{m\in S'}m$. Then S is uniquely determined. But it is a random set in general.

We may order the members in S by $m_1,m_2,\dots,m_k$ such that $T_1(J_1^{m_1})<T_1(J_1^{m_2})<\cdots<T_1(J_1^{m_k})$, and $T_2(J_2^{m_1})>T_2(J_2^{m_2})>\cdots>T_2(J_2^{m_k})$. Formally define $T_1(J_1^{m_0})=T_2(J_2^{m_{k+1}})=0$ and $T_1(J_1^{m_{k+1}})=T_2(J_2^{m_0})=p$. Now the vertical lines $\{x=T_1(J_1^{m_j})\}$, $1\le j\le k$, and horizontal lines $\{y=T_2(J_2^{m_j})\}$, $1\le j\le k$, divide the square $[0,p]^2$ into $(k+1)^2$ rectangles. We use R_{j_1,j_2} to denote the closed rectangle bounded by $\{x=T_1(J_1^{m_{j_1}})\}$, $\{x=T_1(J_1^{m_{j_1-1}})\}$, $\{y=T_2(J_2^{m_{j_2}})\}$, and $\{y=T_2(J_2^{m_{j_2-1}})\}$, $1\le j_1,j_2\le k+1$. Then

$$\bigcup_{m=1}^n[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]=\bigcup_{m\in S}[0,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[0,T_2(J_2^m)]=\bigcup_{j_1<j_2}R_{j_1,j_2}$$

We first define M_* on R_{j_1,j_2} for $j_1<j_2$ such that $M_*=M$; and define it to be constant 1 on $\{t_1=0\}$ and $\{t_2=0\}$. Then we extend M_* to $[0,p]^2$ such that it is continuous, and its restriction to each R_{j_1,j_2} with $j_1\ge j_2$ is a product of two functions depending only on t_1 and t_2, respectively. Such extension can be done step by step, and is unique. Then M_* clearly satisfies (i) and (ii). Lemma 4.2 will be used to prove (iii). The local martingale property of M and the boundedness of M_* together yield (iv), the martingale property of M_*.

Let JP_* be the set of $(J_1,J_2)\in\mathrm{JP}$ such that for $j=1,2$, J_j is a polygon curve whose vertices have rational coordinates. Then JP_* is countable. Let (J_1^m,J_2^m), $m\in\mathbb{N}$, be an enumeration of JP_*. For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, let $M_*^n(t_1,t_2)$ be the $M_*(t_1,t_2)$ given by Theorem 4.2 for (J_1^m,J_2^m), $1\le m\le n$, in the above enumeration.

For $j=1,2$, let $\xi_j(t)$, $K_j(t)$, $K_{I,j}(t)$, $\beta_j(t)$, and $\beta_{I,j}(t)$, $0\le t<p$, be as in the last subsection. Recall that $K_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$, is an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a_j with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$. For $j=1,2$, let μ_j denote the distribution of $\xi_j(t)$, $0\le t<p$.

We first suppose that ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent, then $\mu := \mu_1 \times \mu_2$ is the joint distribution of ξ_1 and ξ_2, which is a probability measure on $C([0,p))^2$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define ν^n such that $d\nu^n = M_*^n(p,p)d\mu$. Then ν^n is also a probability measure on $C([0,p))^2$. Let ν_1^n and ν_2^n be the marginal measure of ν^n. Since $M_*^n(t_1,t_2) = 1$ when $t_1 = 0$ or $t_2 = 0$, so $\nu_j^n = \mu_j$, $j = 1,2$. If the joint distribution of ξ_1 and ξ_2 is ν^n instead of μ, then the distributions of ξ_1 and ξ_2 are still μ_1 and μ_2, respectively; and from the discussion at the end of last subsection, we see that for any $1 \le m \le n$ and $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, if t_k is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time with $t_k \le T_k(J_k^m)$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}_{t_k}^k$, $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t \le T_j(J_j^m)$, is a stopped annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p \setminus K_{I,k}(t_k)$ started from a_j with marked point $\beta_{I,k}(t_k)$. Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 4.1.

A sketch of the proof of Theorem 4.1. Let $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ denote the space of nonempty compact subsets of $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ (with spherical metric) endowed with the Hausdorff metric. We view $C([0,p))$ as a subspace of $\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})$ by identifying each $\xi \in C([0,p))$ with $G(\xi) \in \mathcal{H}(\widehat{C})$, where $G(\xi)$ is the closure of $\{x + i\xi(x) : 0 \le x < p\}$ in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$. Then $\mathrm{Pr}(C([0,p))^2)$ becomes a subspace of $\mathrm{Pr}(\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})^2)$. Let $\nu \in \mathrm{Pr}(\mathcal{H}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}})^2)$ be a subsequential limit of $(\nu^n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$. Let ν_1 and ν_2 be the marginals of ν. Since for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\nu_j^n = \mu_j$ for $j = 1,2$, so $\nu_1 = \mu_1$ and $\nu_2 = \mu_2$. Since $\mu_1, \mu_2 \in \mathrm{Pr}(C([0,p)))$, so $\nu \in \mathrm{Pr}(C([0,p))^2))$. Now suppose the joint distribution of ξ_1 and ξ_2 is ν instead of μ. Let $K_j(t)$, $\beta_j(t)$, $K_{I,j}(t)$ and $\beta_{I,j}(t)$ be as before. Since $\nu_1 = \mu_1$ and $\nu_2 = \mu_2$, so for $j = 1,2$, $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < p$, is still an annulus $\mathrm{SLE}(\kappa,\Lambda_j)$ process in $\mathbb{A}_p$ started from a_j with marked point $a_{I,3-j}$. So we have (i). From Theorem 4.2 (i) it is easy to see that for any $(J_1,J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$, the joint distribution of $(K_1(t) : 0 \le t \le T_1(J_1))$ and $(K_{I,2}(t) : 0 \le t \le T_2(J_2))$ is absolutely continuous w.r.t. the product measure of these two distributions, and the Radon-Nikodym derivative is $M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))$. Moveover, it is easy to check that, for $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$, if $t_k < p$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_t^k)$-stopping time, then $T_j(t_k) = \sup_{m\in\mathbb{N}}\{T_j(J_j^m) : t_k \le T_k(J_k^m)\}$. From the discussion before this proof, one can conclude that (ii) also holds. The reader may read Section 4.3 in [26] for the details of this argument. $\square$

Remark. The condition (4.2) in Theorem 4.1 is used to guarantee that Γ is uniformly bounded when the first variable is bounded away from 0 and ∞. If we do not assume this condition, then the statement of the theorem should be modified. For $j = 1,2$, let S_j be the biggest number in $(0,p]$ such that $\mathbb{T} \not\subset \overline{K_j(t)}$ for $0 \le t < S_j$. In Theorem 4.1, the condition $t_k < p$ should be replaced by $t_k < S_k$; the range of $K_j(t)$ should be $0 \le t < T_j(t_k) \wedge S_j$ instead of $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$; and others can be kept unchanged. In the case $\kappa \le 4$, we have a.s. $S_j = p$, $j = 1,2$, which implies that the theorem holds without modification if we do not assume (4.2).

Using the idea in the proof of Theorem 4.1, we can also prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3 *Let $\kappa > 0$. Suppose Λ is a $C^{1,2}$ differentiable chordal-type annulus drift function, and satisfies the following PDE:*

$$\dot{\Lambda} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\mathbf{H}'' + \Lambda\mathbf{H}' + \mathbf{H}\Lambda' + \Lambda\Lambda' \tag{4.58}$$

on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{N}\})$*. Let* $\Lambda_1=\Lambda$*, and* Λ_2 *be the dual function of* Λ *. Then for any* $p>0$ *and* $a_1\ne a_2\in\mathbb{T}$*, there is a coupling of two processes* $K_1(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_1$*, and* $K_2(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_2$*, such that for* $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ *the followings hold.*

(i) $K_j(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_j$*, is an annulus SLE*(κ,Λ_j) *process in* $\mathbb{A}_p$ *started from* a_j *with marked point* a_k*.*

(ii) If $t_k\in[0,T_k)$ *is a stopping time w.r.t.* $(K_k(t))$*, then conditioned on* $K_k(t)$*,* $0\le t\le t_k$*, after a time-change,* $K_j(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$*, is a stopped annulus SLE*(κ,Λ_j) *process in* $\mathbb{A}_p\setminus K_k(t_k)$ *started from* a_j *with marked point* $\beta_k(t_k)$*, where* β_k *is the trace that corresponds to* $K_k(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_k$*, and* $T_j(t_k)$ *is the maximal number in* $(0,T_j]$ *such that* $\overline{K_j(t)}\cap\overline{K_k(t_k)}=\emptyset$ *for* $0\le t<T_j(t_k)$*.*

4.5 The limit cases

In some sense, Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.3 still hold in the limit case, i.e. when $p=\infty$. In the next section, we will prove a theorem about constructing a coupling of two whole-plane SLE processes, which can be viewed as the limit case of Theorem 4.1. In this subsection, we will state without proof about the limit case of Theorem 4.3, which is Theorem 4.4 below about constructing a coupling of two radial SLE(κ,Λ) processes.

Suppose Λ is a C^1 function on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$ with period 2π. Let $\kappa>0$ and $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion. Let $a\ne b\in\mathbb{T}$. Choose $x_0,y_0\in\mathbb{R}$ such that $e^{ix_0}=a$ and $e^{iy_0}=b$. Suppose $\xi(t)$, $0\le t<T$, is the maximal solution that solves the SDE:

$$d\xi(t)=\sqrt{\kappa}dB(t)+\Lambda(\xi(t)-\widetilde{g}^{\xi}(t,y_0))dt,\quad \xi(0)=x_0,$$

where $\widetilde{g}^{\xi}(t,\cdot)$ are covering radial Loewner maps driven by ξ. Then we call the radial Loewner hulls driven by ξ the radial SLE(κ,Λ) process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from a with marked points 0 and b. Here 0 is a marked point because it is a special point in the radial Loewner equation. Via conformal maps, we can define radial SLE(κ,Λ) process in any simply connected domain D started from one boundary point with a pair of marked points: one is an interior point, the other is another boundary point. We have the following theorem.

Theorem 4.4 *Let* $\kappa>0$*. Suppose* Λ *satisfies*

$$0=\frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda''+\Big(3-\frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\cot_2''+\Lambda\cot_2'+\cot_2\Lambda'+\Lambda\Lambda' \tag{4.59}$$

on $(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{N}\})$*. Let* $\Lambda_1=\Lambda$*, and* $\Lambda_2(x)=-\Lambda(-x)$ *. Then for any* $a_1\ne a_2\in\mathbb{T}$*, there is a coupling of two processes* $K_1(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_1$*, and* $K_2(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_2$*, such that for* $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$ *the followings hold.*

(i) $K_j(t)$*,* $0\le t<T_j$*, is a radial SLE*(κ,Λ_j) *process in* $\mathbb{D}$ *started from* a_j *with marked points* 0 *and* a_k*.*

(ii) If $t_k \in [0, T_k)$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $(K_k(t))$, then conditioned on $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_k$, after a time-change, $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$, is a stopped radial SLE(κ, Λ_j) process in $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_k(t_k)$ started from a_j with marked points 0 and $\beta_k(t_k)$, where β_k is the trace that corresponds to $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t < T_k$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $(0, T_j]$ such that $\overline{K_j(t)} \cap \overline{K_k(t_k)} = \emptyset$ for $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$.

The covering radial Loewner equation is very similar to the strip Loewner equation (c.f. [2][21]). Let $\xi \in C([0,T))$ for some $T \in (0,\infty]$. The strip Loewner equation driven by ξ is

$$\dot{g}(t,z) = \coth_2(g(t,z) - \xi(t)), \quad g(0,z) = z,$$

where $\coth_2(z) = \coth(z/2)$. For $0 \le t < T$, let $K(t)$ be the set of $z \in \mathbb{S}_\pi = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : \operatorname{Im} z \in (0,\pi)\}$ such that solution $g(s,z)$ blows up before or at time t. Then $g(t,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus K(t)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{S}_\pi$, and fixes $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. We call $K(t)$ and $g(t,\cdot)$, $0 \le t < p$, the strip Loewner hulls and maps driven by ξ.

Let $\kappa \ge 0$ and $B(t)$ be a Brownian motion. The standard strip SLE$_\kappa$ is defined by choosing $\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} B(t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$. The corresponding trace is defined by

$$\beta(t) = \lim_{\mathbb{S}_\pi \ni z \to \xi(t)} g(t,\cdot)^{-1}(\xi(t)), \quad 0 \le t < \infty.$$

Such β is a continuous curve in $\overline{\mathbb{S}_\pi}$ started from 0. The behavior of β depends on κ in the same way as radial and annulus SLE$_\kappa$ does.

Suppose Λ is a C^1 function on $(0,\infty)$. Let $a > b \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose $\xi(t)$, $0 \le t < T$, is the maximal solution of the SDE:

$$d\xi(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \Lambda(\xi(t) - g^\xi(t,b))dt, \quad \xi(0) = a,$$

where $g^\xi(t,\cdot)$ are strip Loewner maps driven by ξ. Then we call the strip Loewner hulls driven by ξ the strip SLE(κ,Λ) process in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ started from a with three marked points: b, $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. If Λ is C^1 on $(-\infty,0)$ and $a < b \in \mathbb{R}$, using the same equation we may define strip SLE(κ,Λ) process in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ started from a with marked points b, $+\infty$ and $-\infty$. Via conformal maps, we can define strip SLE(κ,Λ) process in any simply connected domain D started from one boundary point with a triple of marked boundary points. The following theorem is similar to Theorem 4.4, and in some sense can be viewed as the limit of Theorem 4.3 when $p \to 0$.

Theorem 4.5 *Let $\kappa > 0$. Suppose $\Lambda(x)$, $0 < x < \infty$, satisfies*

$$0 = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Lambda'' + \Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\coth_2'' + \Lambda \coth_2' + \coth_2 \Lambda' + \Lambda\Lambda'. \tag{4.60}$$

Let $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$, and $\Lambda_2(x) = -\Lambda(-x)$. Then for any $a_1 > a_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, there is a coupling of two processes $K_1(t)$, $0 \le t < T_1$, and $K_2(t)$, $0 \le t < T_2$, such that for $j \ne k \in \{1,2\}$ the followings hold.

(i) *$K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < T_j$, is a strip SLE(κ, Λ_j) process in $\mathbb{S}_\pi$ started from a_j with marked points a_k, $+\infty$ and $-\infty$.*

(ii) *If $t_k \in [0, T_k)$ is a stopping time w.r.t. $(K_k(t))$, then conditioned on $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t \le t_k$, after a time-change, $K_j(t)$, $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$, is a stopped strip SLE(κ, Λ_j) process in $\mathbb{S}_\pi \setminus K_k(t_k)$ started from a_j with marked points $\beta_k(t_k)$, $+\infty$ and $-\infty$, where β_k is the trace that corresponds to $K_k(t)$, $0 \le t < T_k$, and $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $(0, T_j]$ such that $\overline{K_j(t)} \cap \overline{K_k(t_k)} = \emptyset$ for $0 \le t < T_j(t_k)$.*

The general solutions to (4.59) and (4.60) can be expressed in terms of hypergeometric function. Some particular solutions can be expressed in terms of trigonometric or hyperbolic functions. For example, $\Lambda_1(x) = (\kappa/2 - 3)\cot_2(x)$ and $\Lambda_1(x) = \cot_2(x)$ solve (4.59). The radial SLE(κ, Λ_1) process is actually the usual chordal SLE$_\kappa$ process aimed at the marked boundary point, so the interior marked point does not play a role. The radial SLE(κ, Λ_2) process is the chordal SLE$_\kappa$ process aimed at the marked boundary point conditioned to pass through the marked interior point (c.f. [3]). Two other particular solutions to (4.59) are $\Lambda_{3,4}(x) = (\kappa/4-1)\cot_2(x) \pm (\kappa/4-2)\csc(x/2)$. Similarly, $\Lambda_5(x) = (\kappa/2-3)\coth_2(x)$ and $\Lambda_6(x) = \coth_2(x)$ solve (4.60). The strip SLE(κ, Λ_5) process is actually the usual chordal SLE$_\kappa$ process aimed at the marked boundary point other than ±∞. Two other particular solutions to (4.60) are $\Lambda_{7,8}(x) = (\kappa/4 - 1)\coth_2(x) \pm (\kappa/4 - 2)\operatorname{csch}(x/2)$.

4.6 The deterministic cases

Theorem 4.1, Theorem 4.3, Theorem 4.4, and Theorem 4.5 all hold when $\kappa = 0$. In that case, the processes are deterministic, we can not apply Girsanov Theorem, and the function Γ in Lemma 4 does not exist. But the proofs turns out to be simpler. Now we consider Theorem 4.1 when $\kappa = 0$, for example. All formulas in Section 4.1, and (4.46) and (4.47) still hold.

For $\{j, k\} = \{1, 2\}$, define U_k and V_k on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$U_k = A_{k,1}\Lambda_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) + 3\frac{A_{k,2}}{A_{k,1}}; \quad V_k = \frac{\partial U_k}{\partial t_j}. \tag{4.61}$$

Fix $j \ne k \in \{1, 2\}$. If $t_j = 0$, then $A_{k,1} = 1$, $A_{k,2} = 0$, $\mathrm{m} = p - t_k$, and $X_k = \xi_k(t_k) - \widetilde{g}_{I,k}(t_k, x_j)$. From (4.46), ξ_k satisfies $d\xi_k(t_k) = U_k|_{t_j=0}dt_k$. Similarly, ξ_j satisfies $d\xi_j(t_j) = U_j|_{t_k=0}dt_j$. From (4.32), (4.47), and that $\mathbf{H}_I(p, \cdot)$ is odd, X_j and X_k satisfies

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}X_k = -\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}X_j = -A_{j,1}[U_j|_{t_k=0}] + 3A_{j,2} + A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}, X_k). \tag{4.62}$$

From (4.16), (4.32), (4.41), (4.42), (4.61), (4.62), and that $\mathbf{H}_I'(p, \cdot)$ is even, $\mathbf{H}_I''(p, \cdot)$ is odd, we have

$$V_k = A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}, X_k)\Lambda_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) - A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\dot{\Lambda}_k(\mathrm{m}, X_k) + 3A_{k,1}A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I''(\mathrm{m}, X_k)$$

$$+A_{k,1}\Lambda_k'(\mathrm{m},X_k)\{-A_{j,1}[U_j|_{t_k=0}]+3A_{j,2}+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m},X_k)\}.$$

Since (4.1) holds with $\kappa=0$, so from the above formula, we get

$$V_k=A_{k,1}A_{j,1}\Lambda_k'(\mathrm{m},X_k)\{U_j-U_j|_{t_k=0}\},$$

which implies that

$$V_1(t_1,t_2)=R(t_1,t_2)\int_0^{t_1}V_2(s_1,t_2)ds_1, \tag{4.63}$$

$$V_2(t_1,t_2)=R(t_1,t_2)\int_0^{t_2}V_1(t_1,s_2)ds_2, \tag{4.64}$$

where $R:=A_{1,1}A_{2,1}\Lambda_1'(\mathrm{m},X_1)=A_{1,1}A_{2,1}\Lambda_2'(\mathrm{m},X_2)$.

The only solution to (4.63) and (4.64) is $V_1\equiv V_2\equiv 0$. So we have $U_j=U_j|_{t_k=0}$. From (4.62) and that $\mathbf{H}_I(p,\cdot)$ is odd, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t_j}X_j=A_{j,1}U_j-3A_{j,2}+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m},X_j)=A_{j,1}^2\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)+A_{j,1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m},X_j).$$

From (4.40), (4.31), (4.33), and the above formula, we see that

$$d\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)=A_{j,1}^2\Lambda_j(\mathrm{m},X_j)dt_j.$$

Since $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)=\zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)=\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j),\cdot)$, so from (4.6), (4.15), (4.31), and the above formula, $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$ satisfies

$$d\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)=\Lambda_j(p-t_k-t,\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)-\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\xi_k(t_k)))dt.$$

When $j=1$ and $k=2$, this equation agrees with (4.57) when $\kappa=0$. Arguing as in Section 4.3, we can complete the proof of Theorem 4.1 in the case $\kappa=0$.

5 Coupling in the Degenerated Case

In this section, we will prove the following Theorem. Recall that $I_0(z)=1/\bar{z}$.

Theorem 5.1 *Fix $\kappa>0$. Suppose Λ satisfies the conditions in Theorem 4.1. Let Γ be given by Lemma 4. Moreover, suppose that $\lim_{p\to\infty}\Gamma(p,x)=1$ uniformly in $x\in\mathbb{R}$. Let $\Lambda_1=\Lambda$ and Λ_2 be the dual function of Λ. Then there is a coupling of two processes $K_{I,1}(t)$ and $K_{I,2}(t)$, $-\infty<t<\infty$, such that for $j\ne k\in\{1,2\}$, the followings hold.*

- *(i) $K_{I,j}(t)$, $-\infty<t<\infty$, are whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ hulls in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to ∞;*
- *(ii) Let t_k be a finite stopping time w.r.t. the filtration generated by $(K_{I,k}(t))$. Then conditioned on $K_{I,k}(s)$, $-\infty<s\le t_k$, the process $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $-\infty<t_j<T_j(t_k)$, has the distribution of a disc SLE(κ,Λ_j) process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\backslash I_0(K_{I,k}(t_k))$ started from 0 with marked point $\beta_k(t_k)$, where $T_j(t_k)$ is the maximal number in $\mathbb{R}$ such that $K_j(t)\cap I_p(K_k(t_k))=\emptyset$ for $-\infty<t<T_j(t_k)$, $\beta_k(t_k)=I_0(\beta_{I,k}(t_k))$, and $\beta_{I,k}(t)$ is the trace that corresponds to $(K_{I,k}(t))$.*

5.1 Ensemble

The argument in this subsection is parallel to that in Section 4.1. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in C(\mathbb{R})$. For $j = 1, 2$, let $K_{I,j}(t)$ and $g_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$ (resp. $K_j(t)$ and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$) be the whole-plane (resp. inverted whole-plane) Loewner hulls and maps driven by $\xi_j(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $\widetilde{K}_{I,j}(t)$, $\widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_j(t)$, and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering hulls, and maps. So we have $K_j(t) = I_0(K_{I,j}(t))$, $g_j(t,\cdot) = I_0 \circ g_{I,j}(t,\cdot) \circ I_0$ and $\widetilde{g}_j(t,\cdot) = \widetilde{I}_0 \circ \widetilde{g}_{I,j}(t,\cdot) \circ \widetilde{I}_0$. Define

$$\mathcal{D} = \{(t_1,t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : K_{I,1}(t_1) \cap K_2(t_2) = \emptyset\} = \{(t_1,t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : K_1(t_1) \cap K_{I,2}(t_2) = \emptyset\}.$$

For $(t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$, $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_1(t_1) \setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)$ and $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_{I,1}(t_1) \setminus K_2(t_2)$ are doubly connected domains with the same modulus, so we may define

$$\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) = \operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C} \setminus K_1(t_1) \setminus K_{I,2}(t_2)) = \operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{C} \setminus K_{I,1}(t_1) \setminus K_2(t_2)). \tag{5.1}$$

Fix any $j \neq k \in \{1,2\}$ and $t_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $T_j(t_k) = \sup\{t_j : K_{I,j}(t_j) \cap K_k(t_k) = \emptyset\}$. Then for any $t_j < T_j(t_k)$, we have $(t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$. Moreover, as $t_j \to T_j(t_k)^-$, the spherical distance between $K_{I,j}(t_j)$ and $K_k(t_k)$ tends to 0, so $\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \to 0$.

From Proposition 2.3, $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $-\infty < t_j < \infty$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ started from 0. Since for $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, $K_{I,j}(t_j)$ lies in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_k(t_k)$, so $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, is also an interior Loewner chain in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_k(t_k)$. Let $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j) = g_k(t_k, K_{I,j}(t_j))$. Recall that $g_k(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_k(t_k)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, and fixes 0, so $K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, is an interior Loewner chain in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0. Now we apply Proposition 2.5. For $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, let

$$v_{j,t_k}(t_j) := -\operatorname{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)) = -\operatorname{mod}(\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_k(t_k) \setminus K_{I,j}(t_j)) = -\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2). \tag{5.2}$$

Here the second "=" holds because $g_k(t_k,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_k(t_k) \setminus K_{I,j}(t_j)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D} \setminus K_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$. Then v_{j,t_k} is continuous and increasing, and maps $(-\infty, T_j(t_k))$ onto $(-\infty, S)$ for some $S \in (-\infty, 0]$. Since $\mathrm{m} \to 0$ as $t_j \to T_j(t_k)^-$, so $S = 0$. Let $L_{I,j,t_k}(t) = K_{I,j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}^{-1}(t))$, $-\infty < t < 0$. Then $L_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, are disc Loewner hulls driven by some $\zeta_{j,t_k} \in C((-\infty,0))$. Let $L_{j,t_k}(t)$ be the corresponding inverted disc Loewner hulls. Let $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ and $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding disc and inverted disc Loewner maps. Let $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$ be the corresponding covering Loewner hulls and maps.

For $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, let $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $K_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $g_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $g_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{K}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{K}_{j,t_k}(t_j)$, $\widetilde{g}_{I,j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j,\cdot)$ be the time-change of $\zeta_{j,t_k}(t)$, $L_{j,t_k}(t)$, $h_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $h_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, $\widetilde{L}_{I,j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{L}_{j,t_k}(t)$, $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, and $\widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(t,\cdot)$, respectively, via v_{j,t_k}.

From Lemma 2.1, for each fixed $t_j \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$, $g_j(t_j, K_j(t_j+\varepsilon) \setminus K_j(t_j))$ is a hull in $\mathbb{D}$ w.r.t. 0, and we have

$$\operatorname{cap}_{\mathbb{D};0}(g_j(t_j, K_j(t_j+\varepsilon) \setminus K_j(t_j))) = \varepsilon; \tag{5.3}$$

$$\{e^{i\xi(t_j)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon>0} \overline{g_j(t_j, K_j(t_j+\varepsilon) \setminus K_j(t_j))}, \quad -\infty < t_j < \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

From Lemma 2.2, for each fixed $t_j \in (-\infty, T_j(t_k))$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, T_j(t_k) - t_j)$,

$$g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j + \varepsilon) \setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j)) = h_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j), L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j + \varepsilon)) \setminus L_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j)))$$

is a hull in $\mathbb{A}_{-v_{j,t_k}(t_j)} = \mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$ w.r.t. $\mathbb{T}_{\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}$, and we have

$$\mathrm{cap}_{\mathbb{A}_\mathrm{m}}(g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j + \varepsilon) \setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))) = v_{j,t_k}(t_j + \varepsilon) - v_{j,t_k}(t_j); \tag{5.5}$$

$$\{e^{i\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j)}\} = \bigcap_{\varepsilon \in (0, T_j(t_k) - t_j)} \overline{g_{j,t_k}(t_j, K_{j,t_k}(t_j + \varepsilon) \setminus K_{j,t_k}(t_j))}, \quad -\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k). \tag{5.6}$$

For $-\infty < t_j < T_j(t_k)$, let $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ and $\widetilde{G}_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ be defined by (4.11) and (4.12). Then $G_{I,k,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{D} \setminus g_j(t_j, K_{I,k}(t_k))$ conformally onto $\mathbb{A}_{-v_{j,t_k}(t_j)}$, and maps $\mathbb{T}$ onto $\mathbb{T}$. Moreover, we have (4.13). Arguing as in Section 4.1, using (5.4) and (5.6) and the fact that $\zeta_{j,t_k} + 2n\pi$ generates the same disc Loewner maps as ζ_{j,t_k}, we conclude that ζ_{j,t_k} could be rechosen such that (4.14) holds. From (5.3), (5.5), (4.13), and Proposition 2.1, we can derive (4.15), which then implies (4.16).

Since $\widetilde{g}_j(t_j, \cdot)$ are the inverted covering whole-plane Loewner maps driven by ξ_j, so from (2.11) and that $\mathbf{H}(\infty, z) = \cot_2(z)$, we see that $\widetilde{g}(t_j, \cdot)$ satisfies

$$\dot{\widetilde{g}}_j(t_j, z) = \mathbf{H}(\infty, \widetilde{g}_j(t_j, z) - \xi_j(t_j)), \quad -\infty < t_j < \infty. \tag{5.7}$$

Since $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j, \cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j), \cdot)$, $\widetilde{h}_{I,j,t_k}(t, \cdot)$ are the inverted covering disc Loewner maps driven by ζ_{j,t_k}, and $\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j) = \zeta_{j,t_k}(v_{j,t_k}(t_j))$, so from (4.15) and (5.2), $\widetilde{g}_{j,t_k}(t_j, \cdot)$ satisfies (4.18). For $j = 1, 2$, let X_j be defined by (4.31); let $A_{j,h}$, $h = 0, 1, 2, 3$, and $A_{j,S}$ be defined by (4.33) and (4.34). Arguing as in Section 4.1, but using (5.7) instead of (4.17), we see that (4.21), (4.32), (4.40), (4.41), and (4.42) still hold here, and (4.22) holds here with $p - t_j$ replaced by ∞. So we may define Q by (4.35). Then (4.44) still holds here.

From Lemma 2.3, we have

$$Q(t_1, t_2) = O(e^{-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}), \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) \to \infty. \tag{5.8}$$

From Lemma 2.4, we see that, for $j = 1, 2$,

$$\ln(A_{j,1}(t_1, t_2)), A_{j,S}(t_1, t_2) = O(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) e^{-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}), \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) \to \infty. \tag{5.9}$$

The bounds in the two lemmas are uniform. This means that we have positive continuous functions f_1 and f_2 on $(0, \infty)$ such that $f_1(x) = O(e^{-x})$ and $f_2(x) = O(xe^{-x})$ as $x \to \infty$, and $|Q| \le f_1(\mathrm{m})$, $|\ln(A_{j,1})| \le f_2(\mathrm{m})$, and $|A_{j,S}| \le f_2(\mathrm{m})$, $j = 1, 2$.

From [7], t_j is the whole-plane capacity of $K_{I,j}(t_j)$, so $\mathrm{diam}(K_{I,j}(t_j)) \le 4e^{t_j}$. Since $0 \in K_{I,j}(t_j)$, so $K_{I,j}(t_j) \subset \{|z| \le 4e^{t_j}\}$, which implies $K_j(t_j) \subset \{|z| \ge e^{-t_j}/4\}$. Thus, if $t_1 + t_2 < -\ln(16)$, then the annulus $\{4e^{t_1} < |z| < e^{-t_2}/4\}$ separates $K_{I,1}(t_1)$ and $K_2(t_2)$. So we have

$$\{(t_1, t_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2 : t_1 + t_2 < -\ln(16)\} \subset \mathcal{D}; \tag{5.10}$$

$$\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \ge -t_1 - t_2 - \ln(16), \quad \text{if } (t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}. \tag{5.11}$$

From (5.8), (5.9), (5.10), and (5.11), we see that

$$A_{1,1}(t_1,t_2)^2 A_{2,1}(t_1,t_2)^2 Q(t_1,t_2) = O(e^{t_1+t_2}), \quad t_1,t_2 \to -\infty. \tag{5.12}$$

From this estimation, we may define $F(t_1,t_2)$ on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$F(t_1,t_2) = \exp\Big(\int_{-\infty}^{t_2}\int_{-\infty}^{t_1} A_{1,1}(s_1,s_2)^2 A_{2,1}(s_1,s_2)^2 Q(s_1,s_2) ds_1 ds_2\Big).$$

From (5.12), the two improper integrals both converge, and they are exchangeable. From Lemma 2.4, we have $A_{k,S} \to 0$ as $t_j \to -\infty$. Thus, from (4.44) we see that (4.45) still holds here, and

$$\ln(F(t_1,t_2)) = \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} A_{1,S}(s_1,t_2) ds_1 = \int_{-\infty}^{t_1} \frac{A_{1,S}(s_1,t_2)}{A_{1,1}(s_1,t_2)^2} \cdot A_{1,1}(s_1,t_2)^2 ds_1. \tag{5.13}$$

From (5.9) we have $\frac{A_{1,S}}{A_{1,1}^2} = O(\mathrm{m}\, e^{-\mathrm{m}})$. By changing variable $x = x(s_1) = \mathrm{m}(s_1,t_2)$ in (5.13) and using (4.16) we conclude that

$$\ln(F(t_1,t_2)) = O(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) e^{-\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)}), \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \to \infty. \tag{5.14}$$

Again the bound is uniform, which means that we can find a continuous positive function f_3 on $(0,\infty)$ such that $f_3(x) = O(xe^{-x})$ as $x \to \infty$, and $|\ln(F)| \le f_3(\mathrm{m})$.

Let Γ, Λ, Λ_1 and Λ_2, be as in Theorem 5.1. Let $\Lambda_{I,j}$ be the dual function of Λ_j, $j = 1,2$. So $\Lambda_{I,2} = \Lambda$, and $\Lambda_{I,1}$ is the dual function of Λ. Let $\Gamma_{I,2} = \Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{I,1}(p,x) = \Gamma(p,-x)$. Since Γ and Λ satisfy (4.3) and (4.4), and $\mathbf{H}_I(p,\cdot)$ is odd, so $\Gamma_{I,j}$ and $\Lambda_{I,j}$, $j = 1,2$, also satisfy (4.3) and (4.4). From (4.32), for $(t_1,t_2) \in \mathcal{D}$, we may define

$$Y(t_1,t_2) = \Gamma_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2), X_1(t_1,t_2)) = \Gamma_{I,2}(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2), X_2(t_1,t_2)). \tag{5.15}$$

From the condition of Γ, we see that

$$\ln(Y(t_1,t_2)) = o(\mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2)) \quad \text{as } \mathrm{m}(t_1,t_2) \to \infty. \tag{5.16}$$

5.2 Martingales in two time variables

In this section, we will construct $M(t_1,t_2)$ on $\mathcal{D}$, which is a local martingale in one variable, when the other variable is fixed. The argument here is parallel to that in Section 4.2. The difference is that the time variable here often runs in the intervals of the form $(-\infty,T)$ instead of $[0,T)$, and Itô's formula may not be applied directly to these intervals. The way that we use to overcome this problem is to truncate the time interval. For example, suppose T is bounded below by r_0, to show that a random process N defined on $(-\infty,T)$ is a local martingale, we suffice to show that N is bounded near $-\infty$, and for any $t_0 \in (-\infty, r_0)$, $N_{t_0}(t) := N(t_0 + t)$, $0 \le t < T - t_0$, is a local martingale. Then we can apply Itô's formula to each N_{t_0}.

Fix $\kappa > 0$. Recall the definition of $B^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, in Section 2.3. Let $(B_1^{(\kappa)}(t))$ and $(B_2^{(\kappa)}(t))$ be two independent copies of $(B^{(\kappa)}(t))$. Let $\xi_j(t) = B_1^{(\kappa)}(t)$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, $j = 1, 2$. We adopt the notation in the last section. Then $K_{I,j}(t)$ are whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ hulls in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to ∞.

For $j = 1, 2$, and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\mathcal{F}_t^j$ be the completion of the σ-algebra generated by $e^i(\xi_j(s))$, $-\infty < s \le t$, with respect to the distribution of ξ_j. Then the whole-plane Loewner objects driven by ξ_j are all $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$-adapted, because they are all determined by $(e^i(\xi_j(t)))$, which is $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$-adapted. But $(\xi_j(t))$ may not be $(\mathcal{F}_t^j)$-adapted. Thus, $K_{I,1,t_2}(t_1) = g_{2,t_2}(K_{I,1}(t_1))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. Since $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$ is determined by $K_{I,1,t_2}(s_1)$, $-\infty < s_1 \le t_1$, so $(\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. These $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$ satisfies $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, z + 2\pi) = \widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, z) + 2\pi$. So for $h = 1, 2, 3$, $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_1}^{(h)}(t_1, \cdot)$ has period 2π. Since $A_{1,h}(t_1, t_2) = \widetilde{g}_{I,2,t_1}^{(h)}(t_2, \xi_1(t_1))$, and $(e^i(\xi_1(t_1)))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1)$-adapted, so $(A_{1,h})$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted for $h = 1, 2, 3$, so is $(A_{1,S})$. For the same reason, $(A_{2,h})$, $h = 1, 2, 3$, and $(A_{2,S})$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.11) and (4.12), $G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot))$ and $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, \cdot)$ are $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.14), $(e^i(\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1))) = (G_{I,2,t_2}(t_1, e^i(\xi_1(t_1))))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (4.31) and (4.32), $(e^i(X_1))$ and $(e^i(X_2))$ are both $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. From (5.1), $(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2))$ is $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted. Since $\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}$, $h = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $\Gamma_{I,j}$, and $\Lambda_{I,j}$ have period 2π in the second variable, so $(\mathbf{H}_I^{(h)}(\mathrm{m}, X_1))$, $(\Gamma_{I,j}(\mathrm{m}, X_j))$, and $(\Lambda_{I,j}(\mathrm{m}, X_j))$, are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted, so are (Q), (F), and (Y). In summary, almost all processes we have are $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted except $(\xi_j(t_j))$, $(\xi_{j,t_k}(t_j))$, $(A_{j,0})$, and (X_j). But the images of these functions under the map e^i all become $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$-adapted.

Fix $r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ with $r_1 + r_2 < -\ln(16)$. Fix an $(\mathcal{F}_t^2)$-stopping time $t_2 \in (-\infty, r_2]$. Since $r_1 + t_2 \le r_1 + r_2 < -\ln(16)$, so from (5.10), $r_1 < T_1(t_2)$ holds for sure. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^{1,t_2} = \mathcal{F}_{t_1}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2$. Then $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^{1,t_2})_{-\infty < t_1 < \infty}$ is a filtration, and $T_1(t_2)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^{1,t_2})$-stopping time. For $0 \le t_1' < \infty$, let $\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1') = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}}(B_1^{(\kappa)}(r_1 + t_1') - B_1^{(\kappa)}(r_1))$. Then $\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{r_1+t_1'}^1)_{t_1' \ge 0}$-Brownian motion. Let $\mathcal{F}_{t_1'}^{1,t_2,r_1} = \mathcal{F}_{r_1+t_1'}^{1,t_2} = \mathcal{F}_{r_1+t_1'}^1 \times \mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2$. Then we get a filtration $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1'}^{1,t_2,r_1})_{t_1' \ge 0}$. Since $(B_1^{(\kappa)}(t))$ is independent of $(\mathcal{F}_{t_2}^2)$, so is $(\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1'))$. Thus, $\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1'}^{1,t_2,r_1})$-Brownian motion.

Since $T_1(t_2)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1}^{1,t_2})$-stopping time, and $T_1(t_2) > r_1$ always holds, so $T_{1,r_1}(t_2) := T_1(t_2) - r_1 > 0$ is an $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1'}^{1,t_2,r_1})$-stopping time. For $0 \le t_1' < T_{1,r_1}(t_2)$ and $j = 1, 2$, define $\xi_{1,r_1}(t_1')$, $\xi_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1')$, $\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(t_1', t_2)$, $A_{j,h,r_1}(t_1', t_2)$, $h = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $A_{j,S,r_1}(t_1', t_2)$, $X_{j,r}(t_1', t_2)$, and $Y_r(t_1', t_2)$ to be $\xi_1(r_1 + t_1')$, $\xi_{1,t_2}(r_1 + t_1')$, $\mathrm{m}(r_1 + t_1', t_2)$, $A_{j,h}(r_1 + t_1', t_2)$, $A_{j,S}(r_1 + t_1', t_2)$, $X_j(r_1 + t_1', t_2)$, and $Y(r_1 + t_1', t_2)$, respectively. Then $(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(\cdot, t_2))$, $(A_{j,h,r_1}(\cdot, t_2))$, $h = 1, 2, 3$, $(A_{j,S,r_1}(\cdot, t_2))$, and $(Y_r(\cdot, t_2))$ are all $(\mathcal{F}_{t_1'}^{1,t_2,r_1})$-adapted. For $0 \le t_1' < T_{1,r_1}(t_2)$ and $j = 1, 2$, define

$$\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1') = \xi_{1,r_1}(t_1') - \xi_{1,r_1}(0); \tag{5.17}$$

$$\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') = \xi_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') - \xi_{1,t_2,r_1}(0); \tag{5.18}$$

$$\widehat{A}_{j,0,r_1}(t_1', t_2) = A_{j,0,r_1}(t_1', t_2) - A_{j,0,r_1}(0, t_2); \tag{5.19}$$

$$\widehat{X}_{j,r}(t_1',t_2)=X_{j,r}(t_1',t_2)-X_{j,r}(0,t_2). \tag{5.20}$$

Then $(\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1})$, $(\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1})$, $(\widehat{A}_{j,0,r_1}(\cdot,t_2))$, and $(\widehat{X}_{j,r}(\cdot,t_2))$ are all $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted. And $\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')=\sqrt{\kappa}\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$. From now on, we will apply Ito's formula repeatedly, all SDE will be $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted, and t_1' ranges in $[0,T_{1,r_1}(t_2))$.

For $0\le t_1'<T_{1,r_1}(t_2)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$, let

$$H_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x)=\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(r_1+t_1',\xi_1(r_1)+x)-\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(r_1,\xi_1(r_1)).$$

From (4.14), (5.17), and (5.18), we have

$$\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1')=H_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')). \tag{5.21}$$

Since $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,\cdot)$ is $\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}\times\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$-measurable, satisfies that $\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,z+2\pi)=\widetilde{G}_{I,2,t_2}(t_1,z)+2\pi$, and $e^i(\xi_1(r_1))$ is $\mathcal{F}^1_{r_1}$-measurable, so $(H_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',\cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted. It is clear that

$$H^{(h)}_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x)=\widetilde{G}^{(h)}_{I,2,t_2}(r_1+t_1',\xi_1(r_1)+x),\quad h=1,2; \tag{5.22}$$

$$\dot{H}_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x)=\dot{\widetilde{G}}_{I,2,t_2}(r_1+t_1',\xi_1(r_1)+x). \tag{5.23}$$

From (4.21), (4.33), (5.21), (5.22), and (5.23), we have

$$d\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1')=A_{1,1,r_1}(t_1',t_2)d\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')+(\frac{\kappa}{2}-3)A_{1,2,r_1}(t_1',t_2)dt_1'. \tag{5.24}$$

From (4.40) and (5.19), we have

$$\partial_1\widehat{A}_{2,0,r_1}(t_1',t_2)=-A_{1,1,r_1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}_{r_1},X_1)\partial t_1'. \tag{5.25}$$

From (4.31), (4.33), (5.18), (5.19), and (5.20), $\widehat{X}_{1,r_1}(t_1',t_2)=\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1',t_2)-\widehat{A}_{2,0,r_1}(t_1',t_2)$. So from (5.24) and (5.25), $\widehat{X}_{1,r_1}$ satisfies

$$\partial_1\widehat{X}_{1,r_1}=A_{1,1,r_1}\partial\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')+(\frac{\kappa}{2}-3)A_{1,2,r_1}\partial t_1'+A_{1,1,r_1}^2\mathbf{H}_I(\mathrm{m}_{r_1},X_{1,r_1})\partial t_1'. \tag{5.26}$$

Let $j=1$ and $k=2$ in (4.41). Then we obtain

$$\frac{\partial_1 A_{2,1,r_1}}{A_{2,1,r_1}}=A_{1,1,r_2}^2\mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}_{r_1},X_{1,r_1})\partial t_1'. \tag{5.27}$$

Let α and c be as in (4.50). Using (4.22) with $p-t_j$ replaced by ∞, we compute

$$\frac{\partial_1 A_{1,1,r_1}^\alpha}{A_{1,1,r_1}^\alpha}=\alpha\cdot\frac{A_{1,2,r_1}}{A_{1,1,r_1}}\cdot\partial\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')+\frac{c}{6}A_{1,S,r_1}\partial t_1'+\alpha A_{1,1,r_1}^2\mathbf{r}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1})\partial t_1'-\alpha\mathbf{r}(\infty)\partial t_1', \tag{5.28}$$

which is similar to (4.51) when $j=1$ and $k=2$.

For $0 \le t_1' < T_{1,r_1}(t_2)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, let

$$f_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x) = \Gamma_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(t_1',t_2), X_1(r_1,t_2)+x). \tag{5.29}$$

From (5.15) and (5.20), we have

$$Y_{r_1}(t_1',t_2) = f_{t_2,r_1}(t_1', \widehat{X}_{1,r_1}(t_1',t_2)). \tag{5.30}$$

Since $\Gamma_{I,1}$ has period 2π in the second variable, and $(e^i(X_1(r_1,t_2)))$ is $\mathcal{F}^1_{r_1} \times \mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$-measurable, so $(f_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',\cdot))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted. From (4.16) we have

$$\dot{f}_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x) = -A_{1,1,r_1}(t_1',t_2)^2 \dot{\Gamma}_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(t_1',t_2), X_1(r_1,t_2)+x). \tag{5.31}$$

$$f^{(h)}_{t_2,r_1}(t_1',x) = \Gamma^{(h)}_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(t_1',t_2), X_1(r_1,t_2)+x), \quad h=1,2. \tag{5.32}$$

From (4.3), (4.4), (5.26), (5.29), (5.30), (5.31), and (5.32), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial_1 Y_{r_1}}{Y_{r_1}} &= \frac{1}{\kappa}\Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A_{1,1,r_1} \partial \widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1') \\ &+ \frac{\kappa-6}{2\kappa}\Big(A^2_{1,1,r_1}\mathbf{H}_I'(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) + \Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A_{1,2,r_1}\Big)\partial t_1'. \end{aligned} \tag{5.33}$$

This is similar to (4.49) when $j=1$ and $k=2$.

Define M on $\mathcal{D}$ such that

$$M = A^{\alpha}_{1,1} A^{\alpha}_{2,1} F^{-c/6} Y \exp(\alpha \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m})). \tag{5.34}$$

For $0 \le t_1' < T_1(t_2) - r_1$, let $F_{r_1}(t_1',t_2) = F(r_1+t_1',t_2)$ and $M_{r_1}(t_1',t_2) = M(r_1+t_1',t_2)$. Then

$$M_{r_1} = A^{\alpha}_{1,1,r_1} A^{\alpha}_{2,1,r_1} F^{-c/6}_{r_1} Y_{r_1} \exp(\alpha \mathbf{R}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1})).$$

From (4.15), (4.45), (5.27), (5.28), (5.33), and using $\mathbf{R}'(t) = \mathbf{r}(t) - \mathbf{r}(\infty)$ and $\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1') = \sqrt{\kappa}\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$, we compute

$$\frac{\partial_1 M_{r_1}}{M_{r_1}} = \Big[\Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{1,2,r_1}}{A_{1,1,r_1}} + \Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A_{1,1,r_1}\Big]\frac{\partial \widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')}{\sqrt{\kappa}}. \tag{5.35}$$

Since $\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-Brownian motion, so $(M_{r_1}(t_1',t_2) = M(r_1+t_1',t_2), 0 \le t_1' < T_1(t_2) - r_1)$ is a local martingale. Thus, $(M(t_1,t_2) : r_1 \le t_1 < T_1(t_2))$ is a local martingale. This holds for any $r_1 \in (-\infty, -\ln(16) - r_2)$, if $r_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ is an upper bound of t_2. Thus, for any fixed $(\mathcal{F}^2_t)$-stopping time t_2, which is uniformly bounded above, $(M(t_1,t_2) : -\infty < t_1 < T_1(t_2))$ is a local martingale. Since the definition of M is symmetric in t_1 and t_2, so for any fixed $(\mathcal{F}^1_t)$-stopping time t_1 which is uniformly bounded above, $(M(t_1,t_2) : -\infty < t_2 < T_2(t_1))$ is a local martingale.

From (5.9), (5.14), (5.16), and that $\mathbf{R}(p) = O(e^{-p})$ as $p \to \infty$, we see that there is a positive continuous function f on $(0, \infty)$ that satisfies $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x) = 0$ such that

$$|\ln(M(t_1, t_2))| \le f(\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2)). \tag{5.36}$$

Let $\mathcal{J}$ denote the set of Jordan curves in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ that surround 0. For $J \in \mathcal{J}$ and $j = 1, 2$, let $T_j(J)$ denote the smallest t such that $K_j(t) \cap J \neq \emptyset$. Recall that $I_0(z) = 1/\overline{z}$ and $K_j(t) = I_0(K_{I,j}(t))$. So $T_j(J)$ is also the the smallest t such that $K_{I,j}(t) \cap I_0(J) \neq \emptyset$. Let H_J denote the closure of the domain bounded by $I_0(J)$. Then H_J is an interior hull in $\mathbb{C}$. Let c_J denote the whole-plane capacity of H_J, and $d_J = \mathrm{dist}(0, I_0(J))$. If $K_{I,j}(t) \subset H_J$, then $t \le c_J$ as t is the whole-plane capacity of $K_{I,j}(t)$. We have seen that $K_{I,j}(t) \subset \{|z| \le 4e^t\}$. Thus, if $K_{I,j}(t) \cap J \neq \emptyset$, then $t \ge \ln(d_J/4)$. So we have

$$\ln(d_J/4) \le T_j(J) \le c_J. \tag{5.37}$$

Let JP denote the set of pairs $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathcal{J}^2$ such that $I_0(J_1) \cap J_2 = \emptyset$ and $I_0(J_1)$ is surrounded by J_2. This is equivalent to that $I_0(J_2) \cap J_1 = \emptyset$ and $I_0(J_2)$ is surrounded by J_1. Then for every $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$, $K_{I,1}(t_1) \cap K_2(t_2) = \emptyset$ when $t_1 \le T_1(J_1)$ and $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$, so $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)] \subset \mathcal{D}$.

Lemma 5.1 *(Boundedness) (i) Fix $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. Then $|\ln(M)|$ is bounded on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$ by a constant depending only on J_1 and J_2. (ii) Fix any $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$ and $T_k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then $M \to 1$ as $t_j \to -\infty$ uniformly in $t_k \in (-\infty, T_k]$.*

Proof. (i) From (5.36) we suffice to show that m is bounded away from 0 on $(-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. Let $D(J_1, J_2)$ denote the doubly connected domain bounded by $I_0(J_1)$ and J_2. Let $p_0 > 0$ denote its modulus. For $(t_1, t_2) \in (-\infty, T_1(J_1)] \times (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$, $D(J_1, J_2)$ disconnects $K_{I,1}(t_1)$ from $K_2(t_2)$, so from (5.1) we have $\mathrm{m}(t_1, t_2) \ge p_0$. (ii) This follows from (5.10), (5.11), and (5.36). $\square$

Now we define $\widehat{\mathcal{D}} = \mathcal{D} \cup \{-\infty\} \times [-\infty, \infty) \cup [-\infty, \infty) \times \{-\infty\}$, and extend M to $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$ such that $M = 1$ if t_1 or t_2 equals to $-\infty$. From Lemma 5.1, we see that M is positive and continuous on $\widehat{\mathcal{D}}$. So we can conclude that for any fixed $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$ and any fixed $(\mathcal{F}^k_t)$-stopping time t_k which is uniformly bounded above, M is a local martingale in $t_j \in [-\infty, T_j(t_k))$.

5.3 Local coupling

Let μ_j denote the distribution of (ξ_j), $j = 1, 2$. Let $\mu = \mu_1 \times \mu_2$. Then μ is the joint distribution of (ξ_1) and (ξ_2) since ξ_1 and ξ_2 are independent. Fix $(J_1, J_2) \in \mathrm{JP}$. From the local martingale property of M and Lemma 5.1, we have $\mathbf{E}_\mu[M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))] = M(-\infty, -\infty) = 1$. Define ν_{J_1,J_2} such that $d\nu_{J_1,J_2} = M(T_1(J_1), T_2(J_2))d\mu$. Then ν_{J_1,J_2} is a probability measure. Let ν_1 and ν_2 be the two marginal measures of ν_{J_1,J_2}. Then $d\nu_1/d\mu_1 = M(T_1(J_1), -\infty) = 1$ and $d\nu_2/d\mu_2 = M(-\infty, T_2(J_2)) = 1$, so $\nu_j = \mu_j$, $j = 1, 2$. Suppose temporarily that the distribution of (ξ_1, ξ_2) is ν_{J_1,J_2} instead of μ. Then the distribution of each (ξ_j) is still μ_j.

Now fix an $(\mathcal{F}^2_t)$-stopping time $t_2 \in (-\infty, T_2(J_2)]$. Fix $r_1 \in (-\infty, \ln(d_{J_1}/4))$. From (5.37) we have $r_1 < T_1(J_1)$. Let $T_{1,r_1}(J_1) = T_1(J_1) - r_1 > 0$. For $0 \le t_1' \le T_{1,r_1}(J_1)$, define

$$\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') = \widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1') - \frac{1}{\sqrt{\kappa}} \int_0^{t_1'} \Big[\Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{1,2,r_1}}{A_{1,1,r_1}} + \Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A_{1,1,r_1}\Big]\Big|_{(s_1',t_2)} ds_1'.$$

Since $\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-Brownian motion under μ, so from (5.35) and Girsanov theorem, $\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1')$, $0 \le t_1' \le T_{1,r_1}(J_1)$, is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-Brownian motion under ν_{J_1,J_2}. Since $\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1') = \sqrt{\kappa}\widehat{B}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$, so $\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1')$, $0 \le t_1' \le T_{1,r_1}(J_1)$, satisfies the SDE:

$$d\widehat{\xi}_{1,r_1}(t_1') = \sqrt{\kappa} d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') + \Big[\Big(3 - \frac{\kappa}{2}\Big)\frac{A_{1,2,r_1}}{A_{1,1,r_1}} + \Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A_{1,1,r_1}\Big]\Big|_{(t_1',t_2)} dt_1'.$$

From (5.24) and the above formula, we see that $\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1')$, $0 \le t_1' \le T_{1,r_1}(J_1)$, satisfies

$$d\widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') = \sqrt{\kappa} A_{1,1,r_1}(t_1',t_2) d\widetilde{B}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') + [\Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}, X_{1,r_1}) A^2_{1,1,r_1}]|_{(t_1',t_2)} dt_1'. \tag{5.38}$$

Let $r_1^v = v_{1,t_2}(r_1)$, and

$$\widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') = v_{1,t_2}(r_1 + t_1') - r_1^v, \quad 0 \le t_1' < T_{1,r_1}(t_2). \tag{5.39}$$

From (5.2) we see that $(\widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1})$ is also $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted. From (4.15), we have

$$\widehat{v}'_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1') = A_{1,1,r_1}(t_1',t_2)^2, \quad 0 \le t_1' < T_{1,r_1}(t_2). \tag{5.40}$$

Since $\widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1}$ is continuous, increasing, and maps $[0, T_{1,r_1}(t_2))$ onto $[0, -r_1^v)$, so $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}$ is well defined on $[0,-r_1^v)$. We now extend $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}$ to $[0,\infty)$ such that if $t \ge -r_1^v$ then $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t) = \infty$. Since $(\widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t_1'))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted, and $T_{1,r_1}(t_2)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-stopping time, so for each $t \in [0,\infty)$, $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-stopping time. Since $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)$ increases in t, so we have a new filtration $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})_{t \ge 0}$.

For $-\infty < s < 0$ and $0 \le t < -s$, let

$$\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,s}(t) = \zeta_{1,t_2}(s+t) - \zeta_{1,t_2}(s). \tag{5.41}$$

Recall that $\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1) = \zeta_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1))$. From (5.18) and (5.39), we have

$$\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t) = \widehat{\xi}_{1,t_2,r_1}(\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)), \quad 0 \le t < -r_1^v. \tag{5.42}$$

Thus, $(\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-adapted. From (5.38) and (5.40), there is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-Brownian motion $B(t)$ such that $\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t)$, $0 \le t \le \widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1}(T_{1,r_1}(J_1))$, satisfies the $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-adapted SDE:

$$d\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \Lambda_{I,1}(\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t), t_2), X_{1,r_1}(\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t), t_2)) dt. \tag{5.43}$$

Let $t \in [0, -r_1^v)$. From (5.39), we have

$$v_{1,t_2}(r_1 + \widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)) = r_1^v + t. \tag{5.44}$$

From (5.2) and (5.44), we have

$$\mathrm{m}_{r_1}(\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t), t_2) = -v_{1,t_2}(r_1 + \widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)) = -r_1^v - t. \tag{5.45}$$

Since $\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1) = \zeta_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1))$ and $\widetilde{g}_{I,1,t_2}(t_1, \cdot) = \widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1), \cdot)$, so from (4.31), and (5.44), we have

$$X_{1,r_1}(\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t), t_2) = X_1(r_1 + \widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t), t_2) = \zeta_{1,t_2}(r_1^v + t) - \widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(r_1^v + t, \xi_2(t_2)). \tag{5.46}$$

So from (5.43), (5.45), and (5.46), we see that $\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t)$, $0 \le t \le \widehat{v}_{1,t_2,r_1}(T_{1,r_1}(J_1))$, satisfies

$$d\widehat{\zeta}_{1,t_2,r_1^v}(t) = \sqrt{\kappa} dB(t) + \Lambda_{I,1}(-r_1^v - t, \zeta_{1,t_2}(r_1^v + t) - \widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(r_1^v + t, \xi_2(t_2)))dt. \tag{5.47}$$

Since $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$, so $I_0(J_1)$ is a Jordan curve in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2) \setminus \{0\}$ surrounding 0. Since $g_2(t_2, \cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, and fixes 0, so $g_2(t_2, I_0(J_1))$ is a Jordan curve in $\mathbb{D} \setminus \{0\}$ surrounding 0. Let

$$d(J_1, t_2) = \mathrm{dist}(0, g_2(t_2, I_0(J_1))), \quad m(J_1, t_2) = \mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus [0, d(J_1, t_2)]).$$

From an argument using extremal length (c.f. [1]), if L is an interior hull in $\mathbb{D}$ such that $0 \in L$ and $L \cap \{|z| = d(J_1, t_2)\} \ne \emptyset$, then $\mathrm{mod}(\mathbb{D} \setminus L) \le m(J_1, J_2)$. Since $K_{I,1}(T_1(J_1)) \cap I_0(J_1) \ne \emptyset$ and $K_{I,1,t_2}(T_1(J_1)) = g_2(t_2, K_{I,1}(T_1(J_1)))$, so $K_{I,1,t_2}(T_1(J_1)) \cap g_2(t_2, I_0(J_1)) \ne \emptyset$. So $K_{I,1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))$ satisfies the property of L. Thus, from (5.2) we have $v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1)) \ge -m(J_1, t_2)$.

Fix $t_0 \in (-\infty, -m(J_1, t_2))$. Then $t_0 < v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))$ holds for sure. From (5.37), we have $T_2(J_2) \le c_{J_2}$. Choose $s_0 \in (-\infty, t_0)$ and $r_1 \in (-\infty, s_0 - c_{J_2} - \ln(16))$. Since $t_2 \le T_2(J_2)$, so from (5.2) and (5.11), $r_1^v = v_{1,t_2}(r_1) < s_0$ always holds. Fix any $s \in [s_0, t_0]$. Then $s - r_1^v$ is a positive random variable. We claim that $s - r_1^v$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-stopping time. Now we prove this claim. Fix any $a, b \in [0, \infty)$. Since $\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(a)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-stopping time, so $\{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(a) \le b\} \in \mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_b$. On the other hand, since $v_{1,t_2}(t)$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2}_t)$-adapted, so

$$\{s - r_1^v \le a\} = \{v_{1,t_2}(r_1) \ge a + s\} \in \mathcal{F}^{1,t_2}_{r_1} = \mathcal{F}^{2,t_2,r_1}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_b.$$

So $\{s - r_1^v \le a\} \cap \{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(a) \le b\} \in \mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_b$ always holds. Since this holds for all $b \in [0, \infty)$, so $\{s - r_1^v \le a\} \in \mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(a)}$. Since this holds for all $a \in [0, \infty)$, so $s - r_1^v$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-stopping time. Thus, the claim is proved. For $s \in [s_0, t_0]$, let $\mathcal{G}_s$ denote the σ-algebra obtained from the filtration $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$ and its stopping time $s - r_1^v$. Then $(\mathcal{G}_s)_{s_0 \le s \le t_0}$ is an filtration.

Let $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)=B(t_0-r_1^v+t)-B(t_0-r_1^v)$, $t\ge 0$. Since $B(t)$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-Brownian motion, so $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)$ is a Brownian motion independent of $\mathcal{G}_{t_0}$. From (5.41) and (5.47), we see that for $0\le t\le v_{1,t_2}(T_1(J_1))-t_0$,

$$\sqrt{\kappa}\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)=\zeta_{1,t_2}(t_0+t)-\zeta_{1,t_2}(t_0)-\int_{t_0}^{t_0+t}\Lambda_{I,1}(-s,\zeta_{1,t_2}(s)-\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(s,\xi_2(t_2)))ds. \tag{5.48}$$

From (5.44) and that $\xi_{1,t_2}(t_1)=\zeta_{1,t_2}(v_{1,t_2}(t_1))$, we have $\xi_{1,t_2}(r_1+\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t))=\zeta_{1,t_2}(r_1^v+t)$. Since $(e^i(\xi_{1,t_2}(r_1+t_1')))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{t_1'})$-adapted, so $(e^i(\zeta_{1,t_2}(r_1^v+t)))$ is $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-adapted. Fix $s\in[s_0,t_0]$. Since $s-r_1^v$ is an $(\mathcal{F}^{1,t_2,r_1}_{\widehat{v}^{-1}_{1,t_2,r_1}(t)})$-stopping time, and $\mathcal{G}_s$ is the σ-algebra obtained from the above filtration and its stopping time $s-r_1^v$, so $e^i(\zeta_{1,t_2}(s))=e^i(\zeta_{1,t_2}(r_1^v+(s-r_1^v)))$ is $\mathcal{G}_s$-measurable, $s\in[s_0,t_0]$. Since $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)$ is independent of $\mathcal{G}_{t_0}$, and $\mathcal{G}_s\subset\mathcal{G}_{t_0}$ for $s\in[s_0,t_0]$, so $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)$ is independent of $(e^i(\zeta_{1,t_2}(s)))_{s_0\le s\le t_0}$. Since this holds for any $s_0\in(-\infty,t_0)$, so $\widehat{B}_{t_0}(t)$ is independent of $(e^i(\zeta_{1,t_2}(s)))_{-\infty<s\le t_0}$. Since this holds for any $t_0\in(-\infty,-m(J_1,t_2))$, and $\widetilde{h}_{I,1,t_2}(t,\cdot)$ are covering disc Loewner maps driven by $\zeta_{1,t_2}(t)$, so from (5.48) and the remark after Definition 3.4, conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$, ζ_{1,t_2} is the driving function of a stopped disc SLE(κ,Λ_1) process in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point $e^{i\xi_2(t_2)}$. Since $L_{I,1,t_2}(t)$ are disc Loewner hulls driven by ζ_{1,t_2}, $g_2(t_2,\cdot)$ maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_2(t_2)$ conformally onto $\mathbb{D}$, fixes 0, and $g_2(t_2,K_{I,1}(t_1))=L_{I,1,t_2}(v^{-1}_{1,t_2}(t_2))$, so conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^2_{t_2}$, after a time-change, $K_{I,1}(t_1)$, $-\infty<t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, is a stopped disc SLE(κ,Λ_1) process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_2(t_2)$ started from 0 with marked point $g_2(t_2,\cdot)^{-1}(e^{i\xi_2(t_2)})$. Now if $\beta_{I,2}(t)$, $t\in\mathbb{R}$, is the whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace that corresponds to $K_{I,2}(t)$, then the marked point is $g_2(t_2,\cdot)^{-1}(e^{i\xi_2(t_2)})=I_0(\beta_{I,2}(t_2))=\beta_2(t_2)$. Using an a symmetric argument, we see that if t_1 is any $(\mathcal{F}^1_t)$-stopping time and $t_1\le T_1(J_1)$, then conditioned on $\mathcal{F}^1_{t_1}$, after a time-change, $K_{I,2}(t_2)$, $-\infty<t_2\le T_2(J_2)$, is a stopped disc SLE(κ,Λ_2) process in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}\setminus K_1(t_1)$ started from 0 with marked point $\beta_1(t_1)=I_0(\beta_{I,1}(t_1))$.

5.4 Global coupling

The proof of Theorem 5.1 can be now completed using the theorem below and the argument in Section 4.4.

Theorem 5.2 *Suppose $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and $(J_1^m,J_2^m)\in\mathrm{JP}$, $1\le m\le n$. There is a continuous function $M_*(t_1,t_2)$ defined on $[-\infty,\infty]^2$ that satisfies the following properties:*

(i) $M_*=M$ *on* $[-\infty,T_1(J_1^m)]\times[-\infty,T_2(J_2^m)]$ *for* $1\le m\le n$*;*

(ii) $M_*(t,-\infty)=M_*(-\infty,t)=1$ *for any* $t\in[-\infty,\infty]$*;*

(iii) $M(t_1,t_2)\in[C_1,C_2]$ *for any* $t_1,t_2\in[-\infty,\infty]$*, where* $C_2>C_1>0$ *are constants depending only on* J_j^m*,* $j=1,2$*,* $1\le m\le n$*;*

(iv) for any fix $j \neq k \in \{1, 2\}$ and any any $(\mathcal{F}^k_t)$-stopping time $t_k \in [-\infty, \infty]$, M_* is a bounded $(\mathcal{F}^j_{t_j} \times \mathcal{F}^k_{t_k})_{-\infty \le t_j \le \infty}$-martingale in t_j.

This theorem is similar to Theorem 4.2, so may be proved using the idea in the proofs of Theorem 6.1 in [25] and Theorem 4.5 in [26]. The function M_* could be constructed in the same way as in the discussion after Theorem 4.2, with $[0, \cdot]$ replaced by $[-\infty, \cdot]$. Lemma 5.1 will be used here to prove (iii). The local martingale property of M and the boundedness of M_* yield (iv), the martingale property of M_*.

Using Theorem 5.1 and the idea in the proof of reversibility of chordal SLE$_\kappa$ when $\kappa \in (0, 4]$, we can conclude the following Theorem.

Theorem 5.3 *Let $\kappa \in (0, 4]$. Suppose Λ satisfies the condition in Theorem 5.1, and the following condition: if $\beta(t)$, $-\infty < t < 0$, is a disc SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\mathbb{D}$ started from 0 with marked point b, then a.s. $\lim_{t\to 0} \beta(t) = b$. Then the whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace is reversible, and the disc SLE(κ, Λ) trace is the reversal of radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace. In other words, if $\beta(t)$ is a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from a to b, then after a time-change, the reversal of β has the distribution of a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from b to a; if $\beta(t)$ is a radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace in some simply connected domain D from a to b, then after a time-change, the reversal of β has the distribution of a disc SLE(κ, Λ) trace in D started from b with marked point a.*

Proof. Let two standard whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ processes $(K_{I,1}(t_1))$ and $(K_{I,2}(t_2))$ be coupled according to Theorem 5.1. Let $\beta_{I,1}$ and $\beta_{I,2}$ be the corresponding traces. Then for any $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$, conditioned on $K_2(t_2)$, after a time-change, $\beta_{I,1}(t_1)$, $-\infty < t_1 < T_1(t_2)$, is a stopped disc SLE(κ, Λ_1) trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2)$ started from 0 with marked point $\beta_2(t_2) = I_0(\beta_{I,2}(t_2))$. Now $T_1(t_2)$ is the first time that $\beta_{I,1}(t)$ intersects $K_2(t_2) = \beta_2([-\infty, t_2])$. So from the property of $\Lambda_1 = \Lambda$, we see that a.s. $\beta_{I,1}(T_1(t_2)) = \beta_2(t_2)$. So a.s. for any $t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}$, $\beta_{I,1}(T_1(t_2)) = \beta_2(t_2)$. Since $\{\beta_2(t_2) : t_2 \in \mathbb{Q}\}$ is dense in β_2, and $\beta_{I,1}$ is continuous, so a.s. $\beta_2 \subset \beta_{I,1}$. Since both $\beta_{I,1}$ and β_2 are simple curves, and have end points 0 and ∞, so a.s. $\beta_2 = \beta_{I,1}$. Thus, a.s. β_2 is a time-change of the reversal of $\beta_{I,1}$. Recall that $\beta_{I,1}$ and $\beta_{I,2}$ are whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ traces in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from 0 to ∞. Since I_0 maps $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ conjugate conformally to itself, and exchanges 0 and ∞, so $\beta_2 = I_0(\beta_{I,2})$ is a whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ traces in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ from ∞ to 0. So the reversibility of whole-plane SLE$_\kappa$ trace holds for $a = 0$ and $b = \infty$. The conclusion in the general case follows from conformal invariance.

Fix some $t_2 \in \mathbb{R}$. We know that $\beta_{I,1}(t)$, $-\infty < t \le T_1(t_2)$, is a time-change of the reversal of $\beta_2(t_2 + t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$. If we condition on $K_2(t)$, $-\infty < t \le t_2$, then $\beta_2(t_2 + t)$, $0 \le t < \infty$, is a radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2)$ from $\beta_2(t_2)$ to 0; and $\beta_{I,1}(t)$, $-\infty < t < T_1(t_2)$, is a disc SLE(κ, Λ) trace in $\widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2)$ started from 0 with marked point $\beta_2(t_2)$. So our conclusion about the reversal of radial SLE$_\kappa$ trace holds for $D = \widehat{\mathbb{C}} \setminus K_2(t_2)$, $a = \beta_2(t_2)$ and $b = 0$. The conclusion in the general cases follows from conformal invariance. □

6 Some Particular Solutions

In this section, for some special values of κ, we will find solutions to PDE (4.1) and (4.58), which can be expressed in terms of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$. In the next paper ([30]) we will show that the $\Lambda_{2,\pi}$ in Proposition 6.1, the Λ_1 in Proposition 6.2, and the Λ_1 in Proposition 6.3 satisfy the condition for Λ in Theorem 5.3 in the case $\kappa = 4$, $\kappa = 2$, and $\kappa = 3$, respectively. So Conjecture 1 holds at least for $\kappa = 2, 3, 4$.

From Lemma 3.1 in [22], we see that $\mathbf{H}$ satisfies

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}} = \mathbf{H}'' + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H}. \tag{6.1}$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) = \mathbf{H}(z - ip) - i$, it is easy to check that

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}_I = \mathbf{H}_I'' + \mathbf{H}_I'\mathbf{H}_I. \tag{6.2}$$

From (6.1) and (6.2), it is easy to check that Λ satisfies (4.1) if and only if $\Phi := \Lambda + \mathbf{H}_I$ satisfies

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Phi'' + \Phi'\Phi + (4-\kappa)\mathbf{H}_I'' \tag{6.3}$$

on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$; and Λ satisfies (4.58) if and only if $\Phi := \Lambda + \mathbf{H}$ satisfies

$$\dot{\Phi} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\Phi'' + \Phi'\Phi + (4-\kappa)\mathbf{H}'' \tag{6.4}$$

on $(0,\infty) \times (\mathbb{R} \setminus \{\text{poles}\})$. In the case $\kappa = 4$, (6.3) and (6.4) both become

$$\dot{\Phi} = 2\Phi'' + \Phi'\Phi. \tag{6.5}$$

We first suppose that Φ depends only on the second variable, i.e., $\Phi(p,x) = f(x)$. Then (6.5) becomes an ODE: $2f'' + f'f = 0$. The solutions include: $f(x) = C$, $f(x) = 4/(x - C)$, $f(x) = 4C_2\tanh(C_2(x - C_1))$, $f(x) = 4C_2\coth(C_2(x - C_1))$, and $f(x) = 4C_2\coth(C_2(x - C_1))$, where C, C_1, and C_2 are real constants. Among these functions, only $f(x) = C$ and $f(x) = 2\coth(x/2)$ have period 2π, and have no poles other than $2n\pi$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From (6.1) and (6.2), we find that $\Phi(p,x) = 2\mathbf{H}(2p, x - C)$ and $\Phi(p,x) = 2\mathbf{H}_I(2p, x - C)$ also solve (6.5). Thus, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.1 *Suppose $\kappa = 4$. The following functions are crossing annulus drift functions that solve (4.1): $\Lambda_{1,C}(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}_I(p,x) + C$ and $\Lambda_{2,C}(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}_I(p,x) + 2\mathbf{H}_I(2p, x - C)$; the following functions are chordal-type crossing annulus drift functions that solve (4.58): $\Lambda_{3,C}(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}(p,x) + C$, $\Lambda_4(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}(p,x) + 2\cot(x/2)$, $\Lambda_5(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}(p,x) + 2\mathbf{H}(2p,x)$, and $\Lambda_{6,C}(p,x) = -\mathbf{H}(p,x) + 2\mathbf{H}_I(2p, x - C)$, where C is a real constant.*

From the proof of Lemma 4, we see that, if there are a non-vanishing $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function $\widehat{\Gamma}$ on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$, and a continuous function C on $(0,\infty) \times \mathbb{R}$ which depends only on the first variable, i.e., $C(p,x) = C(p)$, such that the following equation holds:

$$\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat{\Gamma}'' + \mathbf{H}_I\widehat{\Gamma}' + \frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}\mathbf{H}_I'\widehat{\Gamma} + C\widehat{\Gamma}, \tag{6.6}$$

then $\Lambda := \kappa\widehat{\Gamma}'/\widehat{\Gamma}$ solves (4.1). Similarly, if a non-vanishing $C^{1,2}$ differentiable function $\widehat{\Gamma}$ on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{Z}\})$ satisfies

$$\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \frac{\kappa}{2}\widehat{\Gamma}'' + \mathbf{H}\widehat{\Gamma}' + \frac{6-\kappa}{2\kappa}\mathbf{H}'\widehat{\Gamma} + C\widehat{\Gamma} \tag{6.7}$$

for some function C depending only on the first variable, then $\Lambda := \kappa\widehat{\Gamma}'/\widehat{\Gamma}$ solves (4.58).

Note that if Θ on $(0,\infty)\times\mathbb{R}$ satisfies

$$\dot{\Theta} = \Theta'' + \Theta'\mathbf{H}_I + C\Theta \tag{6.8}$$

for some function C depending only on the first variable, then $\widehat{\Gamma} := \Theta'$ satisfies

$$\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}} = \widehat{\Gamma}'' + \mathbf{H}_I\widehat{\Gamma}' + \mathbf{H}_I'\widehat{\Gamma} + C\widehat{\Gamma},$$

which is equation (6.6) when $\kappa = 2$. Thus, if Θ satisfies (6.8) and Θ' does not vanish anywhere, then $\Lambda := 2\Theta''/\Theta'$ solves (4.1) when $\kappa = 2$. Similarly, if Θ on $(0,\infty)\times(\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{Z}\})$ satisfies

$$\dot{\Theta} = \Theta'' + \Theta'\mathbf{H} + C\Theta. \tag{6.9}$$

for some function C depending only on the first variable, and Θ' does not vanish anywhere, then $\Lambda := 2\Theta''/\Theta'$ solves (4.58) when $\kappa = 2$.

From (6.2) we see that $\Theta_1 = \mathbf{H}_I$ solves (6.8) with $C \equiv 0$. From (6.1) we see that $\Theta_2 = \mathbf{H}$ solves (6.9) with $C \equiv 0$. It is also easy to check that $\Theta_3(p,x) = p\mathbf{H}_I(p,x) + x$ solves (6.8) with $C = 0$; and $\Theta_4(p,x) = p\mathbf{H}(p,x) + x$ solves (6.9) with $C = 0$. It is clear that, for $j = 1,2,3,4$, $\Theta_j'(p,\cdot)$ has period 2π. Now we consider the signs of Θ_j'. Since $\Theta_1 = \mathbf{H}_I'$, and $\mathbf{H}_I(p,\cdot)$ is differentiable on $\mathbb{R}$ with period 2π, so we can not expect that $\Theta_1(p,\cdot)$ does not vanish anywhere on $\mathbb{R}$. For the signs of Θ_j', $j = 2,3,4$, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 *For any $p\in(0,\infty)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}$, $\Theta_3'(p,x) > 0$. For any $p\in(0,\infty)$ and $x\in\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi : n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, $\Theta_2'(p,x) < 0$, and $\Theta_4'(p,x) < 0$.*

Proof. From (2.12) we have

$$\mathbf{H}'(z) = \sum_{n\,\mathrm{even}} \frac{2e^{np}e^{iz}}{(e^{np}-e^{iz})^2} \tag{6.10}$$

From (3) in [23], we have

$$\mathbf{H}(p,z) = i\frac{\pi}{p}\mathbf{H}(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, i\frac{\pi}{p}z) - \frac{z}{p}. \tag{6.11}$$

Since $\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) = -i + \mathbf{H}(p, z - ip)$, so we have

$$\mathbf{H}_I(p,z) = i\frac{\pi}{p}\mathbf{H}(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, \pi + i\frac{\pi}{p}z) - \frac{z}{p}. \tag{6.12}$$

From (6.11) and (6.12) we have

$$\mathbf{H}'(p,z) = -\frac{\pi^2}{p^2}\mathbf{H}'(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, i\frac{\pi}{p}z) - \frac{1}{p}; \tag{6.13}$$

$$\mathbf{H}_I'(p,z) = -\frac{\pi^2}{p}\mathbf{H}'(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, \pi + i\frac{\pi}{p}z) - \frac{1}{p}. \tag{6.14}$$

From (6.10) and (6.13), for any $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, we have

$$\Theta_4'(p,x) = p\mathbf{H}'(p,x) + 1 = -\frac{\pi^2}{p}\mathbf{H}'(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, i\frac{\pi}{p}x) = -\frac{\pi^2}{p}\sum_{n\,\text{even}} \frac{2e^{n\frac{\pi^2}{p}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{p}x}}{(e^{n\frac{\pi^2}{p}} - e^{\frac{\pi}{p}x})^2} < 0;$$

$$\Theta_2'(p,x) = (\Theta_4'(p,x) - 1)/p < 0.$$

From (6.10) and (6.14), for any $p \in (0,\infty)$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\Theta_3'(p,x) = p\mathbf{H}_I'(p,x) + 1 = -\frac{\pi^2}{p}\mathbf{H}'(\frac{\pi^2}{p}, \pi + i\frac{\pi}{p}x) = \frac{\pi^2}{p}\sum_{n\,\text{even}} \frac{2e^{n\frac{\pi^2}{p}}e^{-\frac{\pi}{p}x}}{(e^{n\frac{\pi^2}{p}} + e^{\frac{\pi}{p}x})^2} > 0. \quad \Box$$

We will find more solutions of (6.9). Define

$$\Theta_5(p,z) = \mathbf{H}(2p,z) - \mathbf{H}_I(2p,z);$$

$$\Theta_6(p,z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(\frac{p}{2},\frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(\frac{p}{2},\frac{z}{2}+\pi);$$

$$\Theta_7(p,z) = \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(p,\frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_I(p,\frac{z}{2}) - \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}(p,\frac{z}{2}+\pi) + \frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}_I(p,\frac{z}{2}+\pi).$$

Note that $\Theta_5(p,\cdot) = (\Theta_4(2p,\cdot) - \Theta_3(2p,\cdot))/(2p)$, so from Lemma 6.1, we have $\Theta_5'(p,\cdot) < 0$ on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. From an earlier discussion, we can conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 6.2 *Suppose $\kappa = 2$. Then $\Lambda_1 = 2\Theta_3''/\Theta_3'$ is a crossing annulus drift function that solves (4.1); $\Lambda_2 = 2\Theta_2''/\Theta_2'$, $\Lambda_3 = 2\Theta_4''/\Theta_4'$, and $\Lambda_4 = 2\Theta_5''/\Theta_5'$ are chordal-type annulus drift functions that solve (4.58).*

Fix some $p > 0$. Let $L_p = \{2n\pi + i2kp : n,k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let $F_{5,p}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions f on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$ such that each $z \in L_p$ is a simple pole of f, 2π is a period of f, and $i2p$ is an inverse period of f, i.e., $f(z + i2p) = -f(z)$. Let $F_{6,p}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions f on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$ such that each $z \in L_p$ is a simple pole of f, 2π is an inverse period of f, and $i2p$ is a period of f. Let $F_{7,p}$ denote the set of odd analytic functions f on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$ such that each $z \in L_p$ is a simple pole of f, and both 2π and $i2p$ are inverse periods of f. From the properties of $\mathbf{H}$ and $\mathbf{H}_I$, it is easy to check that $\Theta_j(p,\cdot) \in F_{j,p}$, $j = 5,6,7$.

Suppose $f \in F_{5,p}$. Let $C_f = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z)/\operatorname{Res}_{z=0}\Theta_5(p,z) = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} f(z)/2$. Then $g := f - C_f\Theta_5(p,\cdot) \in F_{5,p}$, and 0 is a removable pole of g. From the periodicity of g, every $z \in L_p$ is a removable pole of g. So g must be a constant. Since g is odd, so the constant is 0. Thus, $f = C\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$. So $F_{5,p}$ is the linear space spanned by $\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$. Similarly, $F_{j,p}$ is the linear space spanned by $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)$, $j = 6.7$. We have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2 *For $j = 5,6,7$, Θ_j solves (6.9) for some function C depending only on p.*

Proof. For $j = 5,6,7$, Define

$$J_j = \dot{\Theta}_j - \Theta_j'' - \Theta_j'\mathbf{H}, \quad C_j(p) = \frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Res}_{z=0} J_j(p,\cdot).$$

We first consider the case that $j = 5$. Fix $p > 0$. Since 0 is a simple pole of $\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$, so from (2.13), it is easy to conclude that 0 is also a simple pole of $J_5(p,\cdot)$. From that $\Theta_5(p,\cdot) \in F_{5,p}$, and that $\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot)$ has period 2π, and $\mathbf{H}(p,z+2\pi) = \mathbf{H}(p,z)-2i$, it is easy to check that $J_5(p,\cdot) \in F_{5,p}$ as well. So $J_5(p,\cdot) = C_5(p)\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$. Thus, Θ_5 solves (6.8) with $C = C_5$. Similarly, Θ_6 and Θ_7 solve (6.8) with $C = C_6$ and $C = C_7$, respectively. $\square$

For the signs of Θ_j, $j = 5,6,7$, we make the following observations. Consider a conformal map W from the rectangle $\{x+iy : 0 < x < \pi, 0 < y < p\}$ onto the forth quadrant $\{x+iy : x > 0, y < 0\}$ such that $W(0) = \infty$ and $W(\pi) = 0$. Then $W(\pi + ip)$ and $W(ip)$ are pure imaginary, and $0 > \operatorname{Im} W(\pi + ip) > \operatorname{Im} W(ip)$. Applying Schwarz reflection principle to reflections about vertical lines $n\pi + i\mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may extend W to an analytic function on $\mathbb{S}_p$. Note that the reflection function about $i\mathbb{R}$ is $z \mapsto -\overline{z}$, and the reflection function about $\pi + i\mathbb{R}$ is $z \mapsto 2\pi - \overline{z}$. So now W satisfies $W(-\overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$ and $W(2\pi - \overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$. Thus, W has period 2π, takes real values on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and takes pure imaginary values on $\mathbb{R}_p$.

Applying Schwarz reflection principle to reflections about horizontal lines $\mathbb{R}_{kp}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, we may now extend W to an analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$. Since W takes real values on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, and the reflection function about $\mathbb{R}$ is $z \mapsto \overline{z}$, so W satisfies $W(\overline{z}) = \overline{W(z)}$. Since W takes pure imaginary values on $\mathbb{R}_p$, and reflection functions about $\mathbb{R}_p$ and $i\mathbb{R}$ are $z \mapsto i2p + \overline{z}$ and $z \mapsto -\overline{z}$, respectively, so W satisfies $W(i2p + \overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$. Then we can see that $i2p$ is an inverse period of W. Since W satisfies $W(-\overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$ and $W(2\pi - \overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$ on $\mathbb{S}_\pi$, so W still satisfies these equalities on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$. Thus, 2π is a period of W. From $W(\overline{z}) = \overline{W(z)}$ and $W(-\overline{z}) = -\overline{W(z)}$ we see that W is odd. So $W \in F_{5,p}$. Thus, $W = C_W\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$, where $C_W = \operatorname{Res}_{z=0} W(z)/2$. From the value of W in $\{x + iy : 0 < x < \pi, 0 < y < p\}$, we see that $C_W > 0$. WLOG, we may assume that $C_W = 1$, so $W = \Theta_5(p,\cdot)$. Since $\Theta_5(p,\cdot) = W$ maps $[ip, \pi + ip]$ onto a closed interval $I \subset \{iy : y < 0\}$, so after reflection, $\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$ maps $\mathbb{R}_p$ onto I. Thus, $\Theta_5(p,\cdot)$ takes pure imaginary values on $\mathbb{R}_p$, and $\operatorname{Im}\Theta_5(p,\cdot) < 0$ on $\mathbb{R}_p$.

Similarly, we can conclude that both $\Theta_6(p,\cdot)$ and $\Theta_7(p,\cdot)$ map $\{x+iy : 0 < x < \pi, 0 < y < p\}$ conformally onto $\{x + iy : x > 0, y < 0\}$, and satisfy $\Theta_6(p,0) = \infty$, $0 = \Theta_6(p,ip) < \Theta_6(p,\pi + ip) < \Theta_6(p,\pi)$; $\Theta_7(p,0) = \infty$, $0 = \Theta_7(p,\pi+ip) < \Theta_7(p,\pi)$, $\Theta_7(p,ip) \in i\mathbb{R}$, and $\operatorname{Im}\Theta_7(p,ip) < 0$. So for $j = 6,7$, $\Theta_j(p,\cdot) > 0$ on $(0,\pi]$. After reflection, we have $\Theta_j(p,\cdot) > 0$ on $(0,2\pi)$. Since

2π is an inverse period of $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)$, so for any $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)>0$ on $(4n\pi,(4n+2)\pi)$ and $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)<0$ on $((4n-2)\pi,4n\pi)$. In summary, we have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.3 *For any $p>0$, $i\Theta_5(p,\cdot)>0$ on $\mathbb{R}_p$; for $j=6,7$ and $n\in\mathbb{Z}$, $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)>0$ on $(4n\pi,(4n+2)\pi)$ and $\Theta_j(p,\cdot)<0$ on $((4n-2)\pi,4n\pi)$.*

Now we consider the case that $\kappa=3$. Let $\widehat{\Gamma}_1=\Theta_5$, $\widehat{\Gamma}_2=\Theta_6$, $\widehat{\Gamma}_3=\Theta_7$, and $\widehat{\Gamma}_j(p,z)=\widehat{\Gamma}_{j-3}(p,z+ip)$, $j=4,5,6$. We then have the following lemma.

Lemma 6.4 *Let $\kappa=3$. Then $\widehat{\Gamma}_1,\widehat{\Gamma}_2,\widehat{\Gamma}_3$ solve (6.7) for some function C depending only on p; and $\widehat{\Gamma}_4,\widehat{\Gamma}_5,\widehat{\Gamma}_6$ solves (6.6) for some function C depending only on p.*

Proof. This is similar to Lemma 6.2. For $j=1,2,3$, define

$$J_j=\dot{\widehat{\Gamma}}_j-\frac{3}{2}\widehat{\Gamma}_j''-\mathbf{H}\widehat{\Gamma}_j'-\frac{1}{2}\mathbf{H}'\widehat{\Gamma}_j,\quad C_j(p)=\frac{1}{2}\operatorname{Res}_{z=0}J_j(p,\cdot).$$

For $j=1$, we can conclude that $J_1(p,\cdot)\in F_{5,p}$ for any $p>0$. So $J_1(p,\cdot)=C_1(p)\widehat{\Gamma}_1(p,\cdot)$. Thus, $\widehat{\Gamma}_1$ solves (6.7) with $C=C_1$. Similarly, for $j=2,3$, $\widehat{\Gamma}_j$ solves (6.7) with $C=C_j$. For $j=4,5,6$, from the definition of $\widehat{\Gamma}_j$, that $\widehat{\Gamma}_{j-3}$ solves (6.7), and that $\mathbf{H}_I(p,z)=\mathbf{H}(p,z-ip)-i$, it is easy to check that $\widehat{\Gamma}_j$ solves (6.6) with $C=C_{j-3}$. □

From Lemma 6.3, $\widehat{\Gamma}_4$ is pure imaginary and does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}$, so $\widehat{\Gamma}_4'/\widehat{\Gamma}_4$ takes real values on $\mathbb{R}$; $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_3$ are real valued and does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$, so $\widehat{\Gamma}_2'/\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_3'/\widehat{\Gamma}_3$ take real values on $\mathbb{R}\setminus\{2n\pi:n\in\mathbb{Z}\}$. Since 2π is an inverse period of $\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_3$, so 2π is a period of $\widehat{\Gamma}_2'/\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ and $\widehat{\Gamma}_3'/\widehat{\Gamma}_3$. From an earlier discussion, we can conclude the following proposition.

Proposition 6.3 *Suppose $\kappa=3$. Then $\Lambda_1=3\widehat{\Gamma}_4'/\widehat{\Gamma}_4$ is a crossing annulus drift function that solves (4.1); $\Lambda_2=3\widehat{\Gamma}_2'/\widehat{\Gamma}_2$ and $\Lambda_3=3\widehat{\Gamma}_3'/\widehat{\Gamma}_3$ are chordal-type annulus drift functions that solve (4.58).*

Now we consider the solutions for some other values of κ. Let $\mathbf{H}_2(p,z)=\mathbf{H}(p,z/2)$. From (6.1), we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}}_2=4\mathbf{H}_2''+2\mathbf{H}_2'\mathbf{H}_2. \tag{6.15}$$

Let $G=\mathbf{H}-2\mathbf{H}_2$. Then for each $p>0$, $G(p,\cdot)$ is an odd analytic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus L_p$, and each $z\in L_p$ is a simple pole of G. From $\mathbf{H}(p,z+2\pi)=\mathbf{H}(p,z)$ and $\mathbf{H}(p,z+i2p)=\mathbf{H}(p,z)-2i$ we see that both 4π and $i4p$ are periods of $G(p,\cdot)$. Fix some $p>0$, define

$$J(z)=\frac{G(p,z)^2}{2}-2G'(p,z)+3\mathbf{H}'(p,z).$$

Then J is an even analytic function on $\mathbb{C}\setminus L_p$, and has periods 4π and $i4p$. Fix any $z_0=2n_0\pi+i2k_0p\in L_p$ for some $n_0,k_0\in\mathbb{Z}$. Then $2z_0$ is a period of J, so $J_{z_0}(z):=J(z-z_0)$ is an even function. Thus, $\operatorname{Res}_{z=z_0} J(z) = 0$. The degree of z_0 as a pole of J is at most 2. The principal part of J at z_0 is $\frac{C(z_0)}{(z-z_0)^2}$ for some $C(z_0) \in \mathbb{C}$. The principal part of $G(p,\cdot)$ at z_0 is either $\frac{-6}{z-z_0}$ or $\frac{2}{z-z_0}$, and the principal part of $\mathbf{H}(p,\cdot)$ at z_0 is always $\frac{2}{z-z_0}$. We can compute that either $C(z_0) = \frac{(-6)^2}{2} - 2(6) + 3(-2) = 0$ or $C(z_0) = \frac{2^2}{2} - 2(-2) + 3(-2) = 0$. Thus, every $z_0 \in L_p$ is a removable pole of J, which together with the periods 4π and $i4p$ implies that J is a constant depending only on p. Differentiating J w.r.t. z, we conclude that

$$2G'' = G'G + 3\mathbf{H}''. \tag{6.16}$$

From $G = \mathbf{H} - 2\mathbf{H}_2$ we have $2\mathbf{H}_2 = \mathbf{H} - G$. So from (6.15) and (6.16), we have

$$\dot{\mathbf{H}} - \dot{G} = 8\mathbf{H}_2'' + 4\mathbf{H}_2'\mathbf{H}_2 = 4\mathbf{H}'' - 4G'' + (\mathbf{H}' - G')(\mathbf{H} - G)$$

$$= 4\mathbf{H}'' - 2(G'G + 3\mathbf{H}'') + (\mathbf{H}' - G')(\mathbf{H} - G) = -2\mathbf{H}'' - G'G + \mathbf{H}'\mathbf{H} - G'\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{H}'G.$$

From the above formula and (6.1), we have

$$\dot{G} = 3\mathbf{H}'' + G'G + \mathbf{H}'G + G'\mathbf{H}. \tag{6.17}$$

Thus, G solves (4.58) when $\kappa = 0$. Note that $\mathbf{H}_I(p, z/2)$ also satisfies (6.15). Let $G_I(p,z) := \mathbf{H}(p,z) - \mathbf{H}_I(p, \frac{z}{2})$. Then $G_I(p,\cdot)$ is also an odd analytic function on $\mathbb{C} \setminus L_p$, and have periods 4π and $i4p$. The principal part of $G_I(p,\cdot)$ at every $z_0 \in L_p$ is also either $\frac{-6}{z-z_0}$ or $\frac{2}{z-z_0}$. Using a similar argument, we conclude that G_I also solves (4.58) when $\kappa = 0$.

Now let $F = -G/3$. Then $G = -3F$. From (6.16) we have

$$0 = 2F'' + 3F'F + \mathbf{H}''. \tag{6.18}$$

From (6.17) we have

$$\dot{F} = -\mathbf{H}'' - 3F'F + \mathbf{H}'F + F'\mathbf{H}. \tag{6.19}$$

From $\frac{4}{3}$∗(6.18)+(6.19), we get

$$\dot{F} = \frac{8}{3}F'' + \frac{1}{3}\mathbf{H}'' + \mathbf{H}'F + F'\mathbf{H} + F'F.$$

Thus, F solves (4.58) when $\kappa = \frac{16}{3}$. Similarly, $F_I = -G_I/3$ also solves (4.58) when $\kappa = \frac{16}{3}$. Note that F, G, F_I, G_I all have period 4π instead of 2π in the second variable.

Suppose for $p > 0$ and $1 \le j \le 8$, $\Lambda_j(p,\cdot)$ are functions defined on $\mathbb{R} \setminus \{2n\pi\}$ with period 2π such that, $\Lambda_1(p,\cdot)$, $\Lambda_3(p,\cdot)$, $\Lambda_5(p,\cdot)$, and $\Lambda_7(p,\cdot)$, are the extensions of the restrictions of $G(p,\cdot)$, $G_I(p,\cdot)$, $F(p,\cdot)$, and $F_I(p,\cdot)$ to $(0, 2\pi)$, respectively; and $\Lambda_2(p,\cdot)$, $\Lambda_4(p,\cdot)$, $\Lambda_6(p,\cdot)$, and $\Lambda_8(p,\cdot)$, are the extensions of the restrictions of $G(p,\cdot)$, $G_I(p,\cdot)$, $F(p,\cdot)$, and $F_I(p,\cdot)$ to $(-2\pi, 0)$, respectively. Since $\mathbf{H}$ has period 2π in the second variable, so we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6.4 *When $\kappa = 0$, Λ_1, Λ_2, Λ_3, and Λ_4 are chordal-type annulus drift functions that solve (4.58). When $\kappa = 16/3$, Λ_5, Λ_6, Λ_7, and Λ_8 are chordal-type annulus drift functions that solve (4.58).*

References

[1] Lars V. Ahlfors. *Conformal invariants: topics in geometric function theory.* McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1973.

[2] M. Bauer, D. Bernard and J. Houdayer. Dipolar stochastic Loewner evolutions. *J. Stat. Mech*, P03001, 2005.

[3] V. Beffara. The dimension of the SLE curves. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(4):1421-1452, 2008.

[4] K. Chandrasekharan. *Elliptic functions.* Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 1985

[5] Julien Dubédat. Commutation relations for SLE, *Comm. Pure Applied Math.*, 60(12):1792-1847, 2007.

[6] Gregory F. Lawler. *Intersection of random walks.* Birkhäuser, Boston, 1991.

[7] Gregory F. Lawler. *Conformally Invariant Processes in the Plane.* Am. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2005.

[8] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents I: Half-plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187(2):237-273, 2001.

[9] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Values of Brownian intersection exponents II: Plane exponents. *Acta Math.*, 187:275-308, 2001.

[10] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Conformal restriction: the chordal case, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 16(4): 917-955, 2003.

[11] Gregory F. Lawler, Oded Schramm and Wendelin Werner. Conformal invariance of planar loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Ann. Probab.*, 32(1B):939-995, 2004.

[12] Nikolai Makarov and Dapeng Zhan. SLE-ype processes. In preparation.

[13] Christian Pommerenke. On the Löwner differential equation. *Michigan Math. J.* 13:435-443, 1968.

[14] Daniel Revuz and Marc Yor. *Continuous Martingales and Brownian Motion.* Springer, Berlin, 1991.

[15] Steffen Rohde and Oded Schramm. Basic properties of SLE. *Ann. of Math.*, 161(2):883-924, 2005.

[16] Oded Schramm. Scaling limits of loop-erased random walks and uniform spanning trees. *Israel J. Math.*, 118:221-288, 2000.

[17] Oded Schramm and Scott Sheffield. Harmonic explorer and its convergence to SLE_4. *Ann. Probab.*, 33:2127-2148, 2005.

[18] Oded Schramm and Scott Sheffield. Contour lines of the two-dimensional discrete Gaussian free field. To appear. Available at arXiv:math.PR/0605337.

[19] Stanislav Smirnov. Critical percolation in the plane: conformal invariance, Cardy's formula, scaling limits. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math.*, 333(3):239-244, 2001.

[20] Stanislav Smirnov. Towards conformal invariance of 2D lattice models. *International Congress of Mathematicians.*, vol. II, 1421-1451, Eur. Math. Soc., Zurich (2006)

[21] Dapeng Zhan. Random Loewner Chains in Riemann Surfaces. PhD thesis, Caltech, 2004.

[22] Dapeng Zhan. Stochastic Loewner evolution in doubly connected domains. *Probab. Theory Related Fields*, 129(3):340-380, 2004.

[23] Dapeng Zhan. Some properties of annulus SLE. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 11, Paper 41:1069-1093, 2006.

[24] Dapeng Zhan. The Scaling Limits of Planar LERW in Finitely Connected Domains. *Ann. Probab.*, 36(2):467-529, 2008.

[25] Dapeng Zhan. Reversibility of chordal SLE. *Ann. Probab.* 36(4):1472-1494, 2008.

[26] Dapeng Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE. *Inven. Math.*, 174(2):309-353, 2008.

[27] Dapeng Zhan. Duality of chordal SLE, II. In preprint. In preprint. Available at arXiv:0803.2223.

[28] Dapeng Zhan. Reversibility of some chordal SLE($\kappa;\rho$) processes. In preprint. Available at arXiv:0807.3265.

[29] Dapeng Zhan. Continuous LERW started from interior points. In preprint. Available at arXiv:0809.2230.

[30] Dapeng Zhan. On the reversal of radial SLE, II. In preprint. In preparation.